

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I1	Southbourne	(a) If there is plan to increase council tax, the money could be much better spent on social care - a much greater need than employing a parish secretary.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I2	Southbourne	(f) agreeing on the basis of three wards not four as in the text general: I agree with the concept and find the proposals a reasonable practical implementation of the concept.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I3	Southbourne	a d and h Having been Council Leader when the Area Forums were established I feel that alongside elected Councillors there should be ex officio members representing active community groups in Southbourne so that discussions are well informed. It needs a bit of consideration but have in mind One rep from a youth group (they can sort choice),business group, elderly group, Church. Otherwise experience tells me that it can become a forum for what we don't want rather than what we do want!	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
14	Southbourne	a I agree with the draft recommendation as I would like local people to have more say on local issues such as the local plan, planning permission, community safety, bus shelters, car parks, toilets, CCTV and so on.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
15	Southbourne	A strong sense of community and identification with the Southbourne area. Clear boundaries - sea, stour & harbour, and railway line. Whilst overlap with Boscombe and Pokesdown boundaries both community forums are supportive of each other and residents move between here (and Christchurch) without any issues. Whilst there are many vibrant local groups, having a community council would help them thrive, and provide a guardian for the local area.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
16	Southbourne	a) I believe that it will be a benefit to residents to have a more locally based council to look after local issues. After all, why should anyone in Poole, for example, care about Southbourne local issues. BCP has the remit to consider council-wide issues, but should allow locals to have a better say in their area.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
17	Southbourne	a) The area of Southbourne has over the last few years become a thriving community minded area to live, work and play in. A lively group of volunteers engage in all sorts of activities making Southbourne an even better place to live. With a community council in place the extra benefit will enhance and benefit all the residents for the better. Southbourne has been put on the national map as one of the best places to live. Let's make sure it is and go ahead with a community council to be run by the residents for the good of the area and who all live in it.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
18	Southbourne	a)For more than a decade funding from Central Gov. to local Gov. has continued to decline this has resulted in local Gov.'s having to focus on statutory obligations over other community needs. A Parish Council would be able to identify community needs and raise money via the precept and other funding not available to the BCP Council to meet those needs. With Central Gov. funds being in a tenuous position for nearly 20 years, and the growing difficulty to re-establish stable Central Gov. finances, I feel it is a far better that residents decide what they feel they need to protect and what they are willing to pay extra for.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
19	Southbourne	a)I support a new organisation that is funded and tasked to look after Southbourne and is responsive to local residents b) i would like to see the boundary extended to include more of the high street and Seabourne Road up to the Library, as these feel part of Southbourne too	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I10	Southbourne	<p>A.</p> <p>I agree with the idea of Southbourne having its own town or parish council in principle — it's a distinctive area with a strong identity and an active local community. However, I have some serious concerns that stop me from fully supporting the draft recommendations as they stand.</p> <p>The first is the potential cost to residents. I live just on the edge of Southbourne, on Fisherman's Avenue by Fisherman's Walk. I'm also an artist and work mostly in Boscombe. Like many people on lower incomes, I'm worried that a high levy could make it harder for me to afford to stay in the area. I'd support the proposal more fully if there were reassurances that charges will remain fair and proportionate.</p> <p>Secondly, I think some of the proposed boundary changes feel inappropriate and risk undermining the character of nearby areas. For example, the Brewhouse & Kitchen building and the nearby car park currently sit at the eastern end of Pokesdown and feel very much part of that community — not Southbourne. That stretch of shops and the character of the area align with Pokesdown, which has its own unique, creative and slightly more independent feel. The car park in question mainly serves businesses towards Pokesdown too, especially as that part of the high street has narrower roads and fewer on-street parking options. It doesn't make sense to reassign this area to Southbourne, which already has better parking further down its high street.</p> <p>Lastly, I'd like to see better consultation with residents who live right on the boundary — like myself. I face Fisherman's Walk, which I've always considered part of Boscombe. I enjoy being near both Southbourne and Boscombe, and I think it's important that this balance is maintained. I don't want to be forced into one area or another without local voices being properly heard.</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I11	Southbourne	Agree 'a', 'b', 'c'	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I12	Southbourne	agree with all	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I13	Southbourne	B I think the boundary for Southbourne may need looking at - many residents in Wentworth avenue and Beechwood Avenue plus the roads leading off to the cliff top regard themselves as Southbourne residents as opposed to Boscombe and Pokesdown	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I14	Southbourne	b) Again, Portman Crescent and Montague Road seem to have more links to Southbourne than Boscombe and therefore I would like them included within Southbourne parish, along with Fisherman's Walk. g) I believe there are three wards, not four as stated, but I broadly agree with the names and scope of the three wards.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
115	Southbourne	<p>B) The boundaries between Southbourne & Pokesdown/Boscombe are blurred, the inevitable consequence of this would be some injustice in precept charges, Southbourne does have its more depraved areas but perhaps not at the same level as places in Boscombe & Pokesdown, I do agree that the new red line is where it should be with the car park & the Brewhouse being in Southbourne despite it being in Boscombe's Neighbourhood plan. I do think that the line could have continued straighter down from the Brewhouse taking in more of the Beaufort estate so that any precept that was agreed in the wealthier parts of the community could channel monies into the area of Beaufort that is perceived to need it. I appreciate that this takes in part of an existing Councillors ward & as she has posted her responses around the boundaries particularly on the Beaufort Estate this is an area that needs to be looked at further. Some will also say the same about properties on the overcliff west of Cafe Riva, are perceived by the owners as being in Southbourne, but I see them firmly in Boscombe, so I think the border here is now right.</p> <p>The ward boundaries are unclear as it seems that the red line goes down the centre of The Grove, meaning some will be in one ward & the other side of the road in another, but if this is drawn right then it might help the appointed Councillors when it comes to comments around inequality. All other questions I agree with strongly & would do my best to support the establishment of a Community Council.</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
116	Southbourne	<p>b. I disagree with the proposed inclusion of both Fisherman's Walk and the separate area comprising the car park and adjacent block of buildings currently in Boscombe East ward, into the new Southbourne area.</p> <p>These changes were not proposed by either of the neighbouring forums — Boscombe and Pokesdown or Southbourne — nor were they part of the original consultation material. They appear to have been introduced without wider engagement, possibly at Task and Finish Group level, and risk creating unnecessary confusion and conflict.</p> <p>As Chair of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Forum, I am particularly concerned that incorporating the car park and buildings into Southbourne would interfere with the boundary of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Neighbourhood Plan (BAP NP). These areas currently fall within the plan boundary, and removing them could undermine the protections and planning policies they enjoy. This is especially problematic given that Southbourne does not yet have an adopted neighbourhood plan, nor has it progressed one despite several years of discussion.</p> <p>Although I am a long-standing resident of Southbourne and appreciate that Fisherman's Walk holds strong local significance, it is also well-used and historically shared by residents from Pokesdown and Boscombe East. The green space has long been associated with both communities, and its reallocation risks severing those long-standing connections.</p> <p>The car park and block of buildings, meanwhile, are geographically and socially more aligned with Boscombe and Pokesdown. The car park, while near Southbourne Grove, also supports the businesses and services of Pokesdown and is completely surrounded by residential housing that falls within Boscombe and Pokesdown. Its reclassification feels incongruous. The adjacent block of buildings appears to have been included arbitrarily and without clear justification.</p> <p>In both cases, these boundary changes seem to be driven less by coherent planning principles and more by assumptions about present-day identity or market positioning. I urge the council to reconsider these aspects of the proposal in order to maintain community coherence, respect neighbourhood planning boundaries, and preserve the integrity of existing local identities.</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
117	Southbourne	<p>f} text states 4 parish wards but map and recommendation is for 3 wards. The recommendation to 3 wards is the acceptable version</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I18	Southbourne	Give us a say in local 'low level' issues that matter to our everyday lives. Leaving BCP council to deal until the bigger issues - but alas, I assume, listening to the partial wards councillors and the people they serve. These local people dealing with local issues will have on 'ears to the ground' to support or not BCP decisions.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I19	Southbourne	How much will it cost. What do I get for it?	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I20	Southbourne	I am completely in support of a Southbourne, Tuckton, Wick and Hengistbury Head having a Community Council. My only reservation is the name of the council. We may find that residents would like it to be called Southbourne & Tuckton Community Council so I think this needs to be explored a little further.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I21	Southbourne	I am strongly against Southbourne having any kind of Parish council. I believe this will add further cost and bureaucracy and give BCP further excuses to under deliver to its residents. I am an active member in the community and feel we can better organise ourselves informally. Had a Parish council had more authority eg a true say on planning applications it might be different but there are seem to be no assets or powers in the Southbourne area that would make it worth having a council.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I22	Southbourne	I believe further local devolution of power will bring greater benefits.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I23	Southbourne	I feel that a parish council will enhance community spirit, will enable local engagement and social interaction within our community	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I24	Southbourne	I generally do not agree to the community council concept but it is my understanding that if we do not choose to have our own council with elected community councillors and surrounding areas go ahead with the plan, we will be incorporated in with Bournemouth community councillors, in which case, we will pay the precept but have no say on what happens in our area.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I25	Southbourne	I strongly agree with all of the recommendation.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I26	Southbourne	It will allow targeted investment in Southbourne	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I27	Southbourne	It would be good to have far more local autonomy as Wick does not seem to exist in the eyes of BCP. A few years ago BCP put an article in the Echo saying that they had re-painted or updated every lamp post in the then area of Bournemouth. The lamp posts in Wick have never been painted, which is fine as I would rather the money was spent on social care.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I28	Southbourne	No further comment	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I29	Southbourne	Number of Councillors proposed seems high.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I30	Southbourne	Southbourne has a strong community, BCP is too remote to meet its needs.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I31	Southbourne	The boundary for Beaufort should include the riverside park area that I think is called Iford Playing Fields. It's heavily used by that community and they should have the responsibility for it. The Hengistbury area has a lot of green space to maintain	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I32	Southbourne	Too many people involved wil just negate any change	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I33	Southbourne	Tuckton has it's own, very distinct identity, so it seems a shame that it's not included in the Southbourne council name.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I34	Southbourne	(a)A new level of bureaucracy. A higher council tax bill. Inequalities for the lower income communities. Pricing people out of areas that are up and coming.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I35	Southbourne	<p>(A) 1. As a long time resident of Southbourne, I have never felt the need for Southbourne or the surrounding districts within Bournemouth to require more autonomy or representation from BCP Council than is already served through the Charter of Trustees of Bournemouth (made up of existing BCP Councillors).</p> <p>2. This will add another layer of unnecessary bureaucracy as there will be 3 layers of responsibilities between BCP Council - Parish Council - Residents. Most people do not know or understand the differences between BCP Council or Parish Councils and will result in communication in the form of letters, emails, etc. being constantly lost or deferred between the layers (BCP vs Parish Council) to resolving issues.</p> <p>3. This will require new and periodic elections for the Parish Council which costs money. Central Government grants to local councils are ever reducing yearly, so this will need to be funded by the local residents. Turnout to vote in most Parish Councils is very low (typically under 50%), so there is limited democratic legitimacy in Parish Councillors being truly elected by the majority of residents in their allocated ward.</p> <p>4. Setting up a new Parish Council will require a Parish Clerk to be employed and salaried on behalf of the Council. This money as well as any increase in Council Tax could be better spent on increasing money spent on local parks and green spaces which are in dire need of increased funding.</p> <p>5. Parish Councillors are likely to spend money on activities which the plurality, not the majority of residents want or are interested in. E.g. spending money on Christmas lights in Tuckton and Southbourne (which the local businesses should be paying for as they gain the most benefit through increased trade). Any raised money should be spent evenly and in smaller masses to everyone's benefit in the area, e.g. Seaford Gardens, Iford Playing Fields, Southbourne Beach and Hengistbury Head which can be enjoyed by all residents freely.</p> <p>(F) 1. The division of Southbourne into 3 wards: Tuckton, Hengistbury Head and Wick; Beaufort; Southbourne Overcliff has been purposefully chosen based on property values and social class rather than by geographic or connection to the immediate area. Out of the 3 wards, Beaufort is the least affluent compared to Southbourne Overcliff and Tuckton, Hengistbury Head and Wick.</p> <p>2. Out of the 12 elected Councillors, only 4 will come from the Beaufort area whilst the remaining 8 will come from the other 2 wards. This means that all Councillors from the Beaufort area can be outvoted on any proposals and there is a risk that any funds raised through an increase in Council Tax will be pork barreled into the Southbourne Overcliff and Tuckton, Hengistbury Head and Wick wards.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
136	Southbourne	(a) By strongly disagreeing with the proposal to establish a community council, I clearly disagree with the other proposals (b) to (h). The formation of a community council would cause confusion as to which council people should make contact. Currently, people know that they can contact their ward councillors for help on any issue which is council related. I understand that the Council will ignore any responses on the grounds of cost (hardly democratic!) but this is clearly of concern to many residents who currently find it difficult with the rising cost of living. BCP Council has only been in existence since 2019 and already the Council is looking to transfer governance to smaller authorities. Rather than forming community or parish councils, it would be better to revert to the former local authorities of Christchurch, Poole and Bournemouth. I believe it would make more sense to have a community review after the 2027 elections and put to the electorate a suggestion that the previous councils are reinstated and BCP Council dissolved.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
137	Southbourne	(a) I believe that at this present time of financial hardship for many people the institution of parish councils,(very unnecessary in my opinion) with the extra taxation involved would be a big mistake. Southbourne is already catered for from existing council tax which I already pay. I think that pensioners like myself on falling incomes (in real terms),and struggling families would find the extra tax to pay for what seems like semi trivial things an imposition. I have previous experience of living with a parish council for many years and there was never anything to see for the extra annual cost. I have consulted with many friends within the W.I. who are all of pretty much the same opinion.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
138	Southbourne	(a) I do not believe the proposal for parish councils will be of any benefit in creating improvements in the locality. We already have Ward councillors whose purpose is to represent their wards. The concept of a parish is another layer of bureaucracy which will cost additional money	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I39	Southbourne	<p>(a) There is no need for another layer of governance,</p> <p>(b) If the council is established I think Fisherman's Walk, Portman Terrace and Beresford Road should be part of Southbourne,</p> <p>(f) There are only three proposed parish ward names, not four as stated in the draft recommendation.</p> <p>(g) The BCP Council wards of East Southbourne and Tuckton and West Southbourne have 4 councillors in total. There is no need that the possible community council should have three times the representation of the main council. If the possible community council is established the number of councillors should not exceed the number of main councillors. Therefore one community councillor per proposed community ward would sufficient. A total of 3 councillors only.</p> <p>(h) If the possible community council is established one councillor per proposed ward is sufficient, See reply to (g) above</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I40	Southbourne	<p>(a) to (h) I strongly disagree with any proposal for the establishment of a parish/community council for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is a duplication of existing responsibilities belonging to BCP Council for which I already pay council tax. 2. I firmly believe that this is another taxation scheme at local level to enable BCP Council to transfer its responsibilities to provide certain services which they will in time move over to the community council, instead of lobbying Government for the correct amount of grant and pursuing value for money. 3. It is an unacceptable layer of bureaucracy. The re-organisation of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Councils was supposed to save costs and streamline services. This serves as nothing more than an opportunity to raise further taxes. 4. The level of taxation for these new councils on residents will be uncapped, leading in time to unacceptable increases levels of taxation above and beyond what we would pay in regard to existing council tax year on year, which at the present moment in time is limited to 5% pa. (maximum). 5. BCP Council would be in a position to shift costs and responsibilities for non-delivery of services over to the community council. 6. The creation of a further tier of local government could lead to job losses of experienced and dedicated staff at BCP Council, resulting in redundancies, the costs of which will have to be met by further local taxation. 	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
141	Southbourne	(a) We have a system that works. This is just more costly red tape.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
142	Southbourne	<p>[a] Southbourne is part of Bournemouth and has been for many years. I can see no reason to split Southbourne away, being excluded from and denied a say on Bournemouth town council, when comparable areas (such as Westbourne and Alum Chine) will be within Bournemouth Town, as will Kinson which is further away from the centre of Bournemouth.</p> <p>[b] The heart of Southbourne is Southbourne Grove. However, this is at the very western end of the proposed Southbourne parish. Homes which are just to the west of Southbourne Grove, such as Woodside Road and Portman Crescent, would not be part of Southbourne parish under these proposals despite Southbourne Grove being their local high street, just around the corner. Worse, roads including Beaufort Road, Herberton Road and Sunnyhill Road would be split between Southbourne and Boscombe & Pokesdown parishes even though there is no obvious natural boundary to divide them.</p> <p>[d] I see no reason to set up an additional tier of local government for Southbourne. At present, there is clarity as BCP is responsible for local services. With a separate community council, that clarity is lost as some services will still be provided by BCP Council while others may instead become the responsibility of the community council.</p> <p>[d] Southbourne is an affluent part of the BCP conurbation. Having a separate community council, able to raise funds for Southbourne, risks deepening inequality between affluent areas and those which are less well-off (such as parts of Boscombe or Springbourne) with uneven provision of services.</p> <p>[f] There is a neighbourly community feel on Seafield Road. However, the proposed ward boundary slices that community down the middle (as does the existing BCP ward boundary). This is also true of the wider Carbery Estate. Ward boundaries should not go down the middle of minor residential roads or divide communities. A better treatment of Seafield Road would respect this by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - keeping all properties on Seafield Road north of Cranleigh Road together in the same ward - keeping all properties on Seafield Road between Cranleigh Road and Carbery Avenue together in the same ward - keeping all properties on Seafield Road between Carbery Avenue and Tuckton Road together in the same ward <p>Ideally all of Carbery Estate would be kept together in a single ward. Similar considerations should apply to other residential roads (Guildhill Road, Foxholes Road and Hengistbury Road are also divided down the middle). It is notable that a small number of properties in Polling Districts BE2 and BE3 would fall within Southbourne Community Council, so it clearly is possible for existing Poling Districts to be split.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>Beaufort is not an appropriate name for the ward comprising Polling Districts WS2 and WS3, since it does not include the whole of Beaufort Road.</p> <p>[g], [h] It is unclear to me that people putting themselves forward to serve as community councillors would be truly representative of the Southbourne community in all its diversity. I see a strong risk that the people willing to stand as community councillors would be disproportionately older/retired and wealthy/middle-class.</p>					

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
143	Southbourne	<p>a - Dear BCP Council,</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed creation of a town or parish council for Southbourne.</p> <p>1. No Need for Additional Layers of Government Southbourne already receives services through BCP Council and its existing structures. Introducing another tier of government is unnecessary and inefficient. It risks duplicating responsibilities, confusing accountability, and adding further bureaucracy to a system that already struggles with responsiveness and clarity.</p> <p>2. Increased Council Tax is Unjustified The introduction of a parish or town council would inevitably lead to higher council tax, regardless of promises that the precept may be small. The average charges in other areas (e.g. Christchurch Town at £70.23) show this can be a significant additional burden, especially during a time when households are already under financial pressure. Instead of introducing new costs, the council should be finding ways to reduce the overall tax burden on residents.</p> <p>3. Focus on Efficiency, Not Expansion Local government should follow the same principles households are forced to apply: live within their means. Many residents are cutting costs and prioritising spending. The council should do the same. It is no longer acceptable to justify new spending or governance structures by citing reductions in central government funding. Like residents, the council must learn to prioritise, streamline services, and make responsible cuts instead of inflating the public sector at local expense.</p> <p>4. Risks of Mission Creep and Redundancy Even if initial responsibilities of a parish or town council seem minor (e.g., Christmas lights or noticeboards), there is a clear risk of mission creep. These councils often begin to take on broader roles and spend more, without delivering proportionate value. Southbourne does not need another platform for token projects that come at real cost.</p> <p>5. Representation Already Exists There are already elected councillors representing Southbourne through BCP Council. If residents are dissatisfied, the answer lies in holding those councillors accountable, not creating more politicians, more committees, or more meetings.</p> <p>In conclusion, I urge the Task and Finish Group and Full Council to reject the proposal to create a parish or town council for Southbourne. It would be a retrograde step, introducing unnecessary cost, complexity, and confusion, when what we really need is leaner, smarter, more accountable local governance.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
144	Southbourne	a - I object to the costs that would be involved in setting up the community council (ie the initial balloting system - which I was told would cost £20,000) and the establishment of another layer of administration which will have its own on-going costs where the money could be spent on direct community projects rather than administration. I also object on the basis that the community council would have no statutory powers and would have no more influence on BCP Council decisions than the existing Hengistbury Head Residents Association which already have strong links to the ward councillors and does an effective job for the local community.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
145	Southbourne	a - the council tax we already pay should provide everything that is needed for Southbourne	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
146	Southbourne	a - what was the point in creating BCP if you now want to create more bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
147	Southbourne	a completely disagree with added cost and complexity. We do not need additional layers and I see no extra benefit coming from it. Current services need to be improved, not more layers added.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I48	Southbourne	a disagree. We don't need another layer.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I49	Southbourne	A leave things as they are. B leave things as they are. C Leave things as they are.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I50	Southbourne	a The proposals do not make the case for how the proposals will make governance more effective than what we have now. Secondly, because the costs are not set out it is not possible for us to measure the benefits against the costs. It may be that the increase effectiveness and convenience is worth the extra cost: I am not opposed to increased local taxes as such, but I want to know what the value is for the proposals.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I51	Southbourne	a This proposal only exists because the Liberal Democrats hope to maintain influence. There has never been a will to separate in my memory, and I have lived here since 1964. The people hoping to be the new councillors said that they didnt know anything about a Neighbourhood Plan. This demonstrates the fact that they are ill equipped to carry this forward and are exploiting the political situation. Several of the group advocating this proposal are recent arrivals with interests in rental properties. They have reason to make Southbourne better than Boiscombe. I think that is shameful for people aspiring to public office.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
152	Southbourne	A to G It's a waste of money. They joined everyone up now some not so bright spark with an 'ology' probably straight from college, comes up with a great idea! BCP will pass responsibility on to the individual parishes and then put the council tax up to pay for it. Why do they need 12 councillors, all claiming expenses, the 2 we already have don't do anything. The only thing people seem to care about are children's playgrounds, what about the rest of us who pay tax, not everyone has children. We already have Henra who have the voice for local folk and local interests, these people are volunteers, we don't need more councillors.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
153	Southbourne	a to h. There is absolutely no need for a separate Parish Council for Southbourne. It all comes under the remit of BCP Council. Another tier of Government makes an unnecessary confusion for the local population. Again we have the term Community Council as opposed to Parish Council. There does not seem to be any solid reason or benefit to create this "Community Council" and appears change for the sake of change. If this goes ahead will we see a reduction in the size of BCP Council?	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
154	Southbourne	A waste of taxpayers' money and adding more bureaucracy. Having merged B C and P, which cost a large amount of our money, you now want to break up into smaller units spending more of our money and adding more layers of administration. Stop reorganising and start delivering.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I55	Southbourne	<p>A</p> <p>1. Increased Administrative Costs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting up a new parish council involves costs such as elections, staffing, office space, and ongoing administration. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These costs will be passed on to residents through higher Council Tax precepts. • It is proposed to have three wards with 12 councillors in total for Southbourne alone. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is impossible to provide an indication of the anticipated council tax for any new councils at this stage as all the functions except for allotments & neighbourhood plans, are discretionary, thus the true workload is unknown. • In order to do anything apart from pay for a part-time clerk, is estimated to cost around £240 per household per year, as opposed to the current average Band D council tax charge for parish level precepts within Dorset and BCP which in 2024/25 was £42.96 a year. • It is my understanding that we currently cannot afford to finance the services we already have, many of which seem to have been discarded such as road sweeping; park maintenance and plant nurseries to name but a few. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How is adding to this yet more administrative costs a benefit? • It is my belief that our taxes should be spent on actual services rather than paying for endless quangos. • I dread to think how much money has already been allocated to get this proposal to this stage. HS2 springs to mind. <p>2. Duplication of Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new parish council might very well duplicate efforts, leading to inefficiencies and confusion over responsibilities. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most services provided by town and parish councils are discretionary. • The only compulsory responsibilities for a Parish Council would be allotments & Neighbourhood Plans. • Compulsory to BCP & discretionary to a Parish council would be Public Rights of Way. • All other responsibility which are currently undertaken by BCP, (Bus Shelters, Car Parks, CCTV, Cemeteries, Community Centres, Community Consultations, Memorials, Play Areas, Public Conveniences, Public Seating, Recreation Grounds, Skateparks, Tourist Information), would be shared thus potentially leading to inefficiencies and duplications. <p>3. Risk of Low Engagement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smaller or newer parish councils may struggle to attract enough active, engaged members. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Voter turnout in parish council elections is often low, raising questions about democratic legitimacy and value for money. <p>4. Potential for Local Disputes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposals to form new councils can spark divisive debates within communities, 	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>especially where boundaries or funding are contested.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fragmentation can weaken community cohesion rather than strengthen it. <p>5. Limited Powers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parish councils have limited statutory authority and often rely on other tiers of government for major decisions and funding. • This can frustrate residents expecting greater influence or change from a new council. • BCP already has a Green Spaces Strategy and also a playgrounds strategy thus once again negating the need for added layers of bureaucracy. • Much is made of CIL funding (Community Infrastructure Levy) charged to developers but, in reality it's not that much, we wouldn't get it all and wards can already bid for it. <p>6. Complexity in Governance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More councils mean more layers of government, which may confuse residents and make it harder to coordinate services effectively. <p>7. Resource Allocation Challenges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dividing a larger area into smaller parishes could lead to inequities in funding and services, especially if some areas are more affluent than others. 					

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
156	Southbourne	<p>a) BCP was created for sound reasons which I supported at the time and still do. I see no sensible reason for adding another layer of governance and bureaucracy. To be successful, such a council would cost the residents a sizeable additional precept, otherwise any activities would only be such that a community forum could organise. The only group that would definitely be adversely affected would be the members/tenants of Bournemouth East Allotment Society as the allotment sites would be handed over to the new parish council.</p> <p>b) The boundary excludes many residents who currently consider themselves to be Southbourne residents.</p> <p>c & e) The name should include Tuckton & Wick to reflect the areas the new council would serve.</p> <p>d) There is already a voice for the community through Southbourne Forum.</p> <p>f) These are artificial and very small areas with very different demographics.</p> <p>g & h) Concerned that these councillors will be very biased by their own interests/stakes in the community, inexperience and without the balance of skills to "govern" the area.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
157	Southbourne	<p>A) I can see no good reason for adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and adding another level of delays into processes. This will only add cost the council tax payer.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
158	Southbourne	<p>a) I did not think we need this as another tier in the overall structure. d) I do not see the need for a parish council - I am not convinced of the benefit.</p> <p>g) 12 Councillors for such a small area is disproportionate. h) 4 per ward is too many and unnecessary.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I59	Southbourne	a) I do not agree with the need for a community council. In particular it's proposed remit for control of Allotments, and the geographic restrictions that are likely to be imposed. Secondly, but equally important, I do not think a proposal which would necessitate an extra council tax supplement can be justified at the present time.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I60	Southbourne	A) I do not agree with the need to have a parish/community council ias well as BCP councillors. We already have local ward councillor who can listen to the local people and receive representation from groups who wish to propose local actions and projects. It seems like an expensive layer of bureaucracy that is not needed. Council budgets are notoriously tight at the moment and this feels like a distraction. Plus an extra precept on the rates will be difficult for many people. I am a pensioner on a fixed income and money is tight. I would prefer that it went to essential services.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I61	Southbourne	a) It's only a short while ago the Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole were combined. We were told that this would make things more straightforward with less confusion about who to contact for any circumstance. It seems this proposal will make everything more complicated again, needing to clarify who is responsible for whatever problem. I'm not sure we need any more councillors but if they are really necessary they should be part of BCP Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I62	Southbourne	a), d) g) h) Disagree with principle of a new parish council for the area. Do not want another tier of local government. Do not want extra council tax. Not convinced it could achieve much. f) confused as the map seems to show 3 wards, but the question refers to 4 parish wards	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I63	Southbourne	a, b & c - I live in Southbourne and do not want a new parish council set up - there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I64	Southbourne	A, B, C, D, E, F, H BCP council was created on the basis that a bigger council would be better for residents. This was a rouse just to raise council tax, now there seems to be a push for smaller parish councils and guess what.....this will raise council tax bills. There is no need to increase costs, the council should concentrate on providing better services and provide value for money. This proposal will not do this in anyway	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I65	Southbourne	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I66	Southbourne	A,b,c,d,e,f,g,h.all parish councils need to be abolished we do not need another group of overpaid amateurs spending our money on their pet project	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I67	Southbourne	a. I don't understand the benefits of segregation of areas within Bournemouth.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I68	Southbourne	Additional unnecessary layers. Complicates ownership and accountability. BCP should focus efforts and resources fixing things centrally	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
169	Southbourne	<p>A-H - I disagree with the creation of a parish council being made as this will likely lead to increased bureaucracy and unnecessary costs which will negatively impact the local community within BCP council. There are also likely to be additional cost involved. In reagrds to any issues being raised there will also be increased confusion about where this should sit as lots of responsibilities are joint between Bcp and parish council</p> <p>D - A community council would create unnecessary bureacracy and too decentralised an approach to the local area. Parish councils have low engagement, perception of irrelevance, and internal issues that hinder their effectiveness. They are often know to lack diverse representation, financial mismanagement, and a bureaucratic culture that discourages new volunteers.</p> <p>G - the boundaries set are socially divisive and and could potentially discriminate against those from socially deprived backgrounds. The propsal to create a separate Beaufort ward (wherein the Beaufort Estate is contained which is a deprived/less affluent area) VS Southbourne Overcliffe and Hengistbury and Tuckton (more affluent areas) would create an inbalance in the voting. Hengistbury &Tuckton and southbourne overcliffe's 8 combined councillors would easily be able to out vote Beaufort's 4 in regards to use of any funds.</p> <p>G - Beaufort is not an appropriate name to represent the ward. If anything this should be west southbourne. Southbourne in itself is a community and has been referenced as one area for a huge amount of time. There is no logical need to divide this.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
170	Southbourne	<p>All recommendations. We do not need another tier of bureaucracy, BCP council was created to do away with smaller councils, district, municipal etc, if the current BCP councillors are overworked by the workload then expand the number of councillors to that ward e.g two to three.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
171	Southbourne	ALL. This is an unnecessary additional layer of administration which will be at odds with BCP Council, will increase rates, and unlikely to result in any positive outcomes. The whole process should be scrapped to save the time and cost of this exercise. Our existing local Councillors should be doing all the tasks required at a local level already.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
172	Southbourne	Already too many busybodies and beaurocracy. this proposal will cost even more money, no area will agree,nothing will get done. You will all pass the buck	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
173	Southbourne	<p>b) having attended several meetings to discuss the pro's & con's of a community council I believe one of the biggest issues is the boundary lines - where does Southbourne end & Boscombe & Pokesdown begin? Within the proposed parish wards of both Southbourne & Boscombe & Pokesdown there are more affluent areas & those areas which are more deprived. Will there be a greater need (or demand) to push the additional funds to the deprived areas.</p> <p>If I was to contribute additional funds by way of precept I would want the whole area to benefit - more policing/security for our high streets to stop the increased shop lifting & anti social behaviour, tackling the boy racing issue along the costal roads, keeping the green spaces, coastal paths & parks well looked after, address the lack of parking both in Southbourne Grove, Tuckton High Street & especially along the over cliff roads. When the carpark at Southbourne Crossroads was sold off what the councils plan & thinking for dealing with the need for additional parling during the summer months?</p> <p>The issue of day (& especially overnight) parking by large camper vans/mobile homes (generally towards to Boscombe over cliff area) needs to be addressed by BCP to a community council.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
174	Southbourne	BCP Council has only recently been established and has barely served a full term. Establishing Parish Councils at this stage will only cause confusion with the addition of added layers of management. There are also bound to be additional costs.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
175	Southbourne	Completely disagree with these proposals. I will always support value for money but these proposals do not offer it.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
176	Southbourne	Creating BPC gave everyone an equal standing to influence decisions however the proposed scheme just adds another level of bureaucracy to any consultation and as such is not efficient governance	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
177	Southbourne	d. a community council would add an unnecessary layer of bureaucracy; it is inappropriate to ask people to pay extra council tax at a time when people are struggling to pay their bills, deal with the cost of living - council tax is regressive as it hits lower income people harder; there is no guarantee that the precept won't be raised in future; it will give rise to inequality in provision across the BCP area, with more affluent areas having better local provision than those that are not so well off and undermines the strategic role and economies of scale of BCP council; there is nothing to stop a community council being taken over by a political party; a community council makes it easier for the council to off load services they no longer want to fund; there are already a number of thriving local groups working to raise funds and make improvements in the Southbourne area, a community council is not needed.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
178	Southbourne	Disagree with an extra layer of bureaucracy and cost, especially the increase in Council tax.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
179	Southbourne	Draft recommendations a - h inclusive. I consider that these plans will add further confusion by adding unnecessary layers for zero benefit. To have 12 councillors for Southbourne is ludicrous and completely unwarranted, and as for having 4 councillors for the small area of Beaufort beggars belief.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
180	Southbourne	Extra layer of bureaucracy which is totally unnecessary	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
181	Southbourne	f - the proposed boundary appears to run straight down my road, leaving 'my' side in one ward & the other side of the road in a different ward. How can that make any sense to anyone? We were sent to different polling stations last election which caused amusement among neighbours, but if we are to be governed by differing wards it'll turn into expensive chaos	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
182	Southbourne	g- limited need for this level of councillors/leadership for such a small community, when most of these needs are already met at a council level. Whilst I agree that local communities across the BCP area need a more accurate level of representation in the council as a whole (to ensure every area has fair investment), this is a task that could be achieved with 1-2 councillors per ward instead of 4. h- Similar to above comment. In a time where spending cuts play a significant part in British life, I cant see the justification in this number of councillors at a local scale. Whilst councillors themselves are likely to be of little expense to the public purse, consideration should be given to the time taken to debate and push through suggestions.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
183	Southbourne	h As Beaufort is an area of Deprivation, as quoted in local records and there are only 8 Councillors for the two more affluent areas it is possible that Beaufort may be provide for less.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
184	Southbourne	I am concerned that establishment of this, and other parish/community councils will dilute democratic engagement in GDP Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
185	Southbourne	<p>I am extremely frustrated that having attended a meeting at all saints church. Richard Jones, Head of democratic services at bcp, was unable to advise or offer any alternative to the proposal.</p> <p>I feel there has been a lack of transparency on bcps part.</p> <p>We are being bullied into covering the councils shortfalls.</p> <p>With one of the highest council taxes in the country and an area rich with natural resources, how have our finances been run into the ground?</p> <p>We are making up the shortfalls with the local council precept, which unlike our council tax, has no cap on how much it can be increased.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
186	Southbourne	I can see no benefit in changing the system apart from creating more council jobs. I thought the merging of Christchurch Bournemouth and Poole was to reduce costs. Why change things that have worked for years. As an allotment plot holder we will lose our experienced allotment officer, and people will be denied allotment plots in areas which do not have these facilities	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
187	Southbourne	I disagree with the first recommendation A, this all others will automatically be disagreed with. The last think the area need are amateur politicians playing are running a parish council. Adding another layer of governance will only make matters more difficult to resolve.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
188	Southbourne	I do disagree with enabling more red tape, and to avoid possible arguments on who should have what on thier respective budgets, the BCP council has and is serving us all very well and I do not want to change something that's adding more cost to the tax payer.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
189	Southbourne	I do not accept the suggestion that a council made up of volunteers is the way to manage local community issues. At the meeting I attended it was stated that, if the number of people putting themselves forward for the role of councillor was the same as the number required they would simply form the council. I find this idea very worrying. Is there an assessment of their capabilities, their motivation, their skills and endurance? Elected and paid councillors for the ward do a great job within BCP. Volunteer parish councillors may well be great people but, equally, they may not. Too risky?	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
190	Southbourne	I do not agree with the need for any parish councils as BCP is very overstaffed according to the government audit and we have ward councillors who are all that is needed. Proposals are just another charge on residents and unnessary bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
191	Southbourne	I do not agree with the plan of creating a parish/community council at all.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
192	Southbourne	I do not want to have another layer of governance introduced. I don't want yo psy any more than what I do now, even if it is 1p. The Council is responsible for the services that we pay tax for nk Nedd for another entity. Council needs to reduce their waste wighjn their departments to hzvd sufficient funds.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
193	Southbourne	I don't believe we would benefit whatsoever by becoming a parish council. With another layer of councillors and increased council tax (it's currently unaffordable to most as it is). I believe we have fantastic councillors who are more than capable of driving forward areas of improvement.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
194	Southbourne	I don't feel that a separate parish/community council is necessary. Whilst the area is divided into 3 sections to reflect the differing issues/needs across the area, I feel this is another layer of unwanted bureacracy. We are currently served by 2 very good councillors and an active residents association (HENRA) who deal with any local issues, and via the councillors have a direct connection to BCP . The proposed number of additional councillors for each section of Southbourne is far too many, and will only cost residents more in Council Tax percept	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
195	Southbourne	I don't see any benefit, only more cost and more options for things to be pushed from pillar to post so even less gets done at even more cost. Need to get the existing BCP council working efficiently as one first before embarking on more change	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
196	Southbourne	<p>I have serious concerns about these proposals, particularly with regard to Inequality in local services in different parts of our town – some areas getting better services than others. Objections to new parish councils within BCP are further set out below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Higher council tax bills. 2. More layers of bureaucracy at a time when faith in politics is so low. 3. It being harder to hold elected officials to account, with abysmal turnouts at parish council elections. 4. A step backwards from the strategic, whole-conurbation vision that BCP Council needs to unlock its full growth potential 5. The only way town and parish councils could pay for the services they end up running, like parks, leisure centres, bin collections and libraries, is by pushing local people's council tax up even higher. 6. At a time when people are rightly concerned about inequality in local services and the harm inequality does to people's life chances, BCP Council should not be creating yet more inequality in local services 	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
197	Southbourne	<p>I see it as an additional layer of bureaucracy which comes with an additional cost as the precept to cover the costs will be an addition to the current council tax, WHICH WILL NOT BE DECREASED because the "Community Council" will have taken on a limited amount of its work.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
198	Southbourne	<p>I see no benefit to the creation of a parish council. It will increase beaurocracy and costs. It increases the amount of council tax paid by residents, when costs are already very high.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I99	Southbourne	I strongly disagree with a Parish Council and a rise in council taxes. Would like it to be kept as it is.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I100	Southbourne	I strongly disagree with a) the creation of a parish council on Southbourne	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I101	Southbourne	<p>I strongly disagree with any proposal for the establishment of a parish/community council for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is a duplication of existing responsibilities belonging to BCP Council for which I already pay council tax. 2. I firmly believe that this is another taxation scheme at local level to enable BCP Council to do away with its responsibilities to provide certain services which they will in time move over to the community council, instead of lobbying Government for the correct amount of grant. 3. It is an unacceptable layer of bureaucracy which I understood from the re-organisation of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Councils was to alleviate cost to residents and to streamline services. This serves as nothing more than an opportunity to tax local residents over and above their council tax. 4. Taking account of the above, the level of taxation for these new councils on residents will be uncapped, leading to further unacceptable increased levels of taxation above and beyond what we would pay in regard to existing council tax year on year, which I believe at the present moment in time is limited to 5% pa. (maximum). 5. BCP Council would be in a position to shift costs and responsibilities for non-delivery of services over to the community council. 6. The creation of a further tier of local government could lead to job losses of experienced and dedicated staff at BCP Council, resulting in redundancies, the costs of which will have to be met by further local taxation. 	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I102	Southbourne	<p>I strongly disagree with proposals a) and b) that a council with these boundaries be established within Bournemouth. I disagree with splitting up Bournemouth into smaller town councils. Bournemouth has long been made up of many communities all with a shared identity of being part of Bournemouth.</p> <p>We use green spaces, shopping districts and facilities across all of Bournemouth, not just in a particular area. We care about, and are affected by, the whole of Bournemouth's beach & seafront, transport networks, schools & colleges, parks and our town centre, not just the bits closest to us.</p> <p>We should be able to have a voice in decisions affecting the whole area we live in, not be excluded from decisions that affect us.</p> <p>Creating new local government boundaries drawing lines between our areas will formalise and over time reinforce differences between areas, reducing community cohesion.</p> <p>Also, splitting us up into smaller councils with different levels of council tax precept will reinforce inequality within our community. I believe we should be lifting each other up rather than caring for just our own neighbourhood and not the rest of Bournemouth - this doesn't help the wealthier areas in the long run either. The future of Bournemouth Town Centre, or our seafront, matters to us all, wherever we live.</p> <p>We don't know how much they will cost, but I know that even a small council tax precept will be difficult for residents in my area on low incomes. If the area becomes a higher-tax area, certain demographics will be driven out the area which might change the character of the community for good.</p> <p>Finally, I disagree because I cannot see how the proposed boundary can be changed such that community cohesion is not seriously undermined.</p> <p>If the right boundary that accurately reflects our communities cannot be found, then we should not go ahead with a new council.</p> <p>The proposed Southbourne Council area is artificial and not reflective of a sense of community. The people of Southbourne have never had a shared identify with the people of Tuckton, Wick or Cranleigh, which have their own separate histories. There is no more reason to group these areas together than any other areas nearby, other than convenience for BCP ward boundaries. Southbourne Grove has more shared identity with Pokesdown and Boscombe Manor than with Tuckton or Wick.</p> <p>I disagree with b) the proposed boundary between Boscombe & Pokesdown and Southbourne for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. Divisive:</p> <p>a. The proposed boundary cuts right through a built-up area, drawing a line through a community between otherwise identical streets houses e.g. on Beaufort Road, Portman Crescent, or Southbourne Road. This is not in line with the Government Guidance on Community Governance Reviews which states:</p> <p>"83. As far as boundaries between parishes are concerned, these should reflect the "no-man's land" between communities represented by areas of low population or barriers such as rivers, roads or railways. They need to be, and be likely to remain, easily identifiable."</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>b. Residents in streets either side of the boundary use the same shops, library, green spaces and other amenities around Southbourne Grove. Otherwise identical residents would have different council tax precepts, different services and a different council to interface with. The proposed boundary creates a division between a community where there currently is none, which undermines community cohesion and the purpose of creating such councils.</p> <p>c. Many residents of the area are not on high incomes. A council tax precept will be difficult for many residents to accommodate. If residents of the area with little cash to spare are forced to move out, this will change the make-up of the customers and workers that make the commercial district thrive and risks changing the character of the community for good.</p> <p>2. Inefficient:</p> <p>a. The proposed boundary goes down the middle of a beloved row of shops/cafes/restaurants bars that make up Southbourne Grove/Seabourne Road commercial district, which is the heart of the wider community. Establishments such as SoBo Fish, SoBo Sommelier, Renouf's, the Way Outback Brewing Company all would be in a different council to the Brewhouse & Kitchen and other establishments very close by.</p> <p>Community cohesion would be better served by considering the needs of the whole commercial district together, rather than making improvements to only one section of it.</p> <p>b. Any improvements made to the street - or even something like Christmas decorations - may have to stop abruptly at the council boundary midway down the street (because the two different councils would have different budgets and priorities). It doesn't benefit traders if improvements are made right outside their business but services are deteriorating on their doorstep.</p> <p>Alternatively, traders or anyone wanting to do something positive along the stretch of road would have to interact with two separate councils as well as BCP. Splitting services halfway down a main road risks making them more disjointed and less cost-effective.</p> <p>c. Care for our shared seafront - clifftop, zig zag, beaches - should be joined-up, not split between two service providers. Under the proposed boundary, Fisherman's Walk would be in one council, the cliff lift in another, and otherwise identical clifftop green space cared for by two separate councils. The boundary would be right down the middle of the space used by residents and visitors to the area.</p> <p>I understand those in favour of these councils want to care for our maintenance and improvements to areas such as the clifftop. But the two councils will have different budgets and priorities. Maintenance, repairs and improvements might improve for one stretch of the clifftop but actually get worse for the other stretch. This does nothing to enhance a sense of community, community pride or the appeal of the area.</p> <p>3. Undemocratic:</p> <p>a. The proposed boundary does not give local residents a voice in matters they care deeply about.</p> <p>People who live either side of the boundary care for plans for Southbourne Grove, the</p>					

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>clifftop, and all the other facilities they share. It would not be right for many of these residents to not be the same council and not be able to have a voice.</p> <p>b. Under the proposals, many residents who use and volunteer to care for, Fisherman's Walk would be in a different council to it, and therefore not able to have input into decisions about its upkeep and use. This includes the streets right next to it, who are most affected by events happening there.</p> <p>c. The proposed boundary sees Woodside Road car park in a different council to the very shops/businesses that rely on it for their customers' parking. The properties surrounding and relying on the car park should have a voice in decisions relating to it.</p>					
I103	Southbourne	<p>I strongly disagree with the proposal for parish council(s). I am not a Conservative voter; my objections are not political. We vote for and have Local Councillors.</p> <p>If I have a local problem, I will contact my local Councillor. I do not need another tier of governance that costs money and is likely run by people with their own axe-to-grind to the detriment of the vast majority, locally.</p> <p>This is a bad idea. It does not increase democracy but simply forces more people to waste their time on day-to-day politics.</p> <p>If the current councillors don't know what is needed in their "parish", what on earth are they doing being councillors?</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I104	Southbourne	I strongly disagree with the whole proposal. I submitted several questions via email on 7th May to CGR and have not received a reply and therefore have not received any reason to be in favour of this proposal.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I105	Southbourne	I think most businesses that are thriving and successful are usually streamlined and more people only add to confusion and disputes over which area gets priority over another area. If all under BCP no arguments	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I106	Southbourne	I think this will over complicate the council process by adding extra layers. It will also increase costs which are already too high. I would like BCP to spend their time improving their own service provision not looking into adding extra layers of councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I107	Southbourne	I went to the meeting in the hall at our Lady Queen of Peace when it was explained what a Southbourne council would be, and I could not see that it would be of any real benefit to Southbourne. It would just add another layer of bureaucracy, confuse people as to who was responsible for what, and achieve nothing. It seems like a pointless waste of time and energy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I108	Southbourne	I) The creation of BCP was to streamline the decision making process. The recommendations will add another layer of committee to the mix and cause confusion as to who is responsible for what.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I109	Southbourne	If BCP are to go ahead with this then a fair representation should be available	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I110	Southbourne	Its a waste of council tax money and i believe councillors should be elected and not volunteers who will have their own personal agendas. Any increase in council tax should be used to improve/maintain the roads and streets and the health services. Get the basics right before wasting money on stupid ideas.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I111	Southbourne	More bureaucracy, more meetings, more on the payroll will just lead to more discussions and no action. Why isnt change happening already?	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I112	Southbourne	More money, more councillors to pay more red tape	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I113	Southbourne	My response refers to all of the above a-h. Taking this proposal forward will inevitably result in even more layers of confusion about who does what, where and when and who is responsible for the various aspects of BCP services. As it is already often unclear and largely difficult to get any local issues resolved I can see the argument for a more localised situation but multiple layers will only make things more confusing and even worse than they currently are. I have experience of working with parish councils in other areas and my experience has been that they frequently disagree, end in petty arguments, eat into budgets and progress things either at snails pace or not at all, sometimes due to local personal interests rather than to benefit the wider population.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I114	Southbourne	No convincing case has been made for the creation of a new parish and parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I115	Southbourne	No to another layer of council, beurocracy and money wasted. All the responsibilities listed in the parish / bcp comparison chart should be the responsibility of bcp only, with any local rep working/representing within bcp, not as a part of a whole extra layer of council. KISS.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I116	Southbourne	none of this is needed the council that we have now can't get anything done or or spend the money wisely, so adding another layer of bureaucracy and wages is my council tax just being wasted, but you will charge me for the privilege by increasing the council tax which is ridiculous amount now. What I want to see is the office staff reduced by 50% and get them on the streets working and having a town to be proud of clean,tidy, beautiful like it was 50 year's ago. Sick of outsiders using us as stepping stone in there political career. Bournemouth needs a leader who wants to improve they're town not use it as a wage and councillor's who want better for Bournemouth	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I117	Southbourne	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers. If the current councillors do not feel they are up to the job of representing their constituents then they should resign so other more committed individuals can take the positions.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I118	Southbourne	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of burocracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilledexpertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I119	Southbourne	Shouldn't be changed, no need to become a parish, I am not happy to pay more in area of BCP that already costs a fortune	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I120	Southbourne	Southbourne is a thriving community already, and making divisions has the potential to be incredibly harmful. We need to be unified not drawing lines between one another.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I121	Southbourne	Southbourne is already a thriving community , and that community already seems to be spreading down to pokesdown without the need for extra councillors.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I122	Southbourne	Strongly disagree with formation of Parish Council	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I123	Southbourne	The current system of local government allows our local councillors to represent our views on kye issues and aids BCP council in directing the limited funds available. Any extra layer will only add duplication at an added cost. I strongly disagree with the proposals.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I124	Southbourne	The recommendations a - h for Southbourne are just another unnecessary layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I125	Southbourne	There is an element of gerrymandering in the definition of ward boundaries. Hengistbury Head is not an obvious part of Southbourne whereas areas off Seabourne Road have been excluded. The extension of the current West Southbourne ward to incorporate a small area around the Brewhouse and Kitchen looks arbitrary and "cherrypicking".	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I126	Southbourne	There is no point for separate wards; the whole point of the current constituency of BCP should be sufficient as is; if it isn't why delegate further and charge a precept for the process? This is simply costing electorate more and giving BCP more ability to delegate further whilst abdicating responsibility itself	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I127	Southbourne	These proposals will create another layer of government taking a salary for what exactly? overseeing allotments by the look of the draft plans! Whilst also claiming expenses no doubt. At present many of the people who want to be councillors do work for their local community through charities and volunteering. I believe that they see this as a way to get paid for what they were happy to do in their voluntary capacity. This whole review must have cost council tax payers money, which could have been used to oversee the allotments. Do we really need a dedicated team of councillors to do this? I honestly cannot see what the rationale for the proposed changes is.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I128	Southbourne	This is an opportunity for more money to be taken from people living in this area, during a time of financial hardship. It is always the same power crazed people who want to in charge of how other people live their lives. One (overly)big council is plenty. I see no benefit to this.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I129	Southbourne	This is just an exercise to get more people on the gravy train. If the BCP councilors did their job we would need another layer of costly representatives.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I130	Southbourne	This layer of governance is absolutely not needed	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I131	Southbourne	<p>This proposal just creates another level of bureaucracy. The debacle widely reported of the Handforth Parish Council meeting in 2021 highlighted the distain for another level of governance. The subsequent investigation into this fiasco cost the local council £85,000..</p> <p>The areas these proposed Parish councils will focus on are issues that BCP local councillors already concentrate on. Another level of council is unnecessary expenditure, creates more bureaucracy and BCP will still be the overruling authority to investigate when errors inevitably occur. This will increase expenditure which will need to be funded by local council tax payers. This is totally unacceptable, when the level of service of existing council services has decreased, but the annual council tax bill has increased.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I132	Southbourne	Too much bureaucracy/cost for very little return.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I133	Southbourne	Totally nnecessary levels of cost and bureaucracy. The whole idea should be scrapped.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I134	Southbourne	Unnecessary layers for zero benefit. Add confusion over which council does which job. Just keep things simple. BCP should improve services rather than creating new Councils to do their job for them.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I135	Southbourne	We do not need a parish council that is what the BCP councillors are for, otherwise what will their role be? This is just another layer of bureaucracy that is not needed. Each one will blame the other and nothing will get done. It is bad enough as it is without adding more people for us to contact and then ignore our concerns.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I136	Southbourne	We do not need an extra layer of democratic beaurocracy in our area. Our local councillors are doing a very good job within the current structure, and influencing BCP council policy and focussing effort & expenditure where needed. There is no need to create another layer - a layer that will cost more to do the same tasks.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I137	Southbourne	We do not need another layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I138	Southbourne	We do not need another level of local governance	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I139	Southbourne	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I140	Southbourne	We don't need Parish Councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I141	Southbourne	We pay a large amount of council tax already, as tax band E residents, some services for which we do not personally benefit or we feel are poorly operated, e.g. Road sweepers very infrequent, refuse collectors leave rubbish, dropped by collectors from the bins, on the road, rubbish bins on the seafront often overflowing. We are reluctant to have an extra charge added to our council tax bill.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I142	Southbourne	We would like to object to the new proposed councils for our area as we do not need another layer as the present council cannot cope with issues at present. We have a very good residence association which deals with a lot of what a community council would do. There are enough councillors at present so why do we need more as this will not help the community cohesion. It will not help as residents will not know which council does certain jobs so causing more confusion. It could cause areas to be split as to which projects are done in certain areas. We have several community groups working in our area doing a very good job so do not rock the boat. One should not attempt to change or improve something that is already working well as such an effort could potentially cause new problems. So we both object strongly to these proposals.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I143	Southbourne	<p>When we already have BCP council and ward councillors, do we really need 12 more people? Surely our MP and ward Councillors are quite enough.</p> <p>Keep this simple - there may be confusion over who does what if there are too many layers. I cannot see this will help foster a sense of community. I should think community is good already in Southbourne. It would be best if BCP improved its own services rather than an extra layer of bureaucracy.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I144	Southbourne	<p>(a) my concern with this and all of the other parish/community councils is making everything more complicated. Especially as there could be a Bournemouth wide council that doesn't include the areas, like Southbourne and others say, where they have their own council set up, but are still considered part of the wider Bournemouth area. You would have know and understand the various tiers in operation. It has the likelihood of becoming confusing for residents. Bordering councils would have to work with each other and not be in competition if and when there are local funding opportunities. You are reliant upon having the right people in post and they must be informed and work closely with BCP Council. Is this all creating a lot more work for the Council who are already short of money, (whilst I appreciate there is the precept to go someway towards funding) and residents who do not wish to have a local council will still have to fund this. There will be a significant number of additional councillors overall as a result of such a process, and is this another layer where decisions can get held up? It feels like this has just come out of the blue and the video that I watched to explain it, (made me feel queasy due to the rapid moving aerial footage in the background), didn't seem sufficiently convincing of any benefits.</p> <p>(d) the terminology between a parish and a community isn't really clear. It feels a bit arbitrary</p> <p>(f) need to be clear if it is 3 or 4, as the text says 4 and the map shows 3</p> <p>(g) & (h) 12 councillors overall feels like too many</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I145	Southbourne	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I146	Southbourne	<p>(a) Strongly agree – Southbourne has a distinct and vibrant identity and is sometimes referred to as Sobo. Its separation from central Bournemouth and the strength of local community organisations makes it ideally suited for parish-level governance. This would provide a more direct, accountable voice on local issues, particularly those affecting the coastal strip, open spaces, and public amenities.</p> <p>(b) Somewhat disagree – The proposed boundary appears well thought-out and coherent. Including Fisherman's Walk and parts of Portman Terrace is a sensible and pragmatic decision that reflects actual community use and identity - at a minimum an addition I would propose would be to include the play area by fishermans walk as this is regularly used by local residents and events - see below for a suggestion.</p> <p>(c & f) Strongly agree – The name “Southbourne” is clear, widely recognised, and appropriate. It supports geographic identity and provides clarity in communications and representation.</p> <p>(d & e) Strongly agree – The “community” style reflects a modern and inclusive culture and suits a coastal suburb with a dynamic population and strong resident involvement. It also aligns with emerging good practice in urban parishing.</p> <p>(g, h & i) Agree – The three-ward structure offers fair representation across distinct but interlinked neighbourhoods. The ratio of councillors to electors is appropriate and conforms to electoral guidance. The ward names and distributions are intuitive and community-focused.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I147	Southbourne	abcdefgh I have relations and friends in Southbourne and I consider that a parish council would be of great benefit to them	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
I148	Southbourne	At f) above and at g) in the previous text the number of words is given as four. I presue this is an error, as only three wards are described.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
I149	Southbourne	Don't like you have moved us from Southbourne to Boscombe without us having any say	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I150	Southbourne	<p>I broadly agree with the proposals as long as the primary remit of the council are things like beautification and events and the councillors do not spend too much time on things like planning which are better dealt with by bcp generally in my opinion.</p> <p>G and h - I agree there needs to be more than 2 councillors per community council but 12 seems perhaps too many. 6 would be a better number to balance between precept cost and ability to pay for things.</p>	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I151	Southbourne	<p>I hope to be moving to Southbourne/Tuckton and</p> <p>a) feel strongly that it's good for Southbourne to have a parish</p> <p>b and c) The boundary and name are perfectly acceptable to me</p> <p>d and e) Having a community council and the name are again perfectly acceptable</p> <p>f and g) The wards and the number of councillors seem logical</p> <p>h) the split of councillors to wards seem logical</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
I152	Southbourne	I think a lot of people (myself included) who would form part of the boscombe and pokesdown council area, say, think and feel that we live in Southbourne.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I153	Southbourne	<p>I'm convinced that establishing a Community Council here would be a real game-changer. By ring-fencing dedicated funds, tapping into grant opportunities, and crafting our own neighbourhood plan all while maintaining strong, collaborative ties with BCP we can deliver the tangible improvements our area needs. Yes, it might mean a modest rise in council tax, but the payoff will be unmistakable: better-maintained public spaces, more targeted local services, and quicker responses to residents' concerns. I've spoken with dozens of neighbours at Southbourne Forum events and on local Facebook groups: everyone loves this community, but many feel overlooked and distrustful of an overstretched BCP. Empowering ourselves to manage local projects and priorities will rebuild that trust and demonstrate what grassroots action can achieve. Let's seize this opportunity to shape our own future our neighbourhood will thank us for it.</p>	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I154	Southbourne	Keep it separate from Bournemouth. It has a unique character.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
I155	Southbourne	Regarding recommendations (b), and (f). I disagree in that I believe that, culturally, polling districts LI2 and LI3 should either belong to this ward or the Southbourne ward over and above their current designation of Littledown & Iford. I believe a more fitting designation for these areas to be either "Boscombe East & Iford" or "Southbourne North & Iford".	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I156	Southbourne	Southbourne has become a very desirable and attractive place for residents and visitors.It really is about time that Southbourne was given the appropriate Parish council / recognition that it deserves.Southbourne has a very strong community feel about it .The changes would have a positive impact on Southbourne.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I157	Southbourne	There is a clear logic although given the proposal for a Boscombe and Pokesdown Parish the parts taken from Boscombe and encapsulated in the proposal would equally fit within the Boscombe and Pokesdown proposal. I am not convinced by the logic here.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I158	Southbourne	<p>Very much agree with a community council for Southbourne - huge support for it on the ground, and I know this having many contacts in the area, having lived there for 40+ years, and working in the West Southbourne ward.</p> <p>(b) and (f) - it would be tidier (and more judicious politically) to include Sunnyhill Road, Alexandra Road and the top end of Castlemain Avenue within this proposed council area - i.e. all land north of Southbourne Road. This area has seen itself as part and parcel of West Southbourne (or simply Southbourne) since the abolition of the Pokesdown Urban District Council on 1 November 1901.</p> <p>(c) and (e) - although potentially preserved as ward names, the toponyms of Tuckton and Wick have been around since the thirteenth century if not before, and those two districts are very different from Southbourne - Tuckton being a discrete shopping precinct with river access, Wick a "rus in urbe". A greater sense of cohesion would therefore be bestowed by dubbing this the "Wick, Tuckton and Southbourne Parish Council [or Community Council]" - which also works euphonically.</p> <p>(f) - this potential ward straddles two venerable estates: the Stourfield Park Estate, including Beaufort Road, which was laid out in 1893 between Stourvale Road, Cranleigh Road and Irving Road; and the Carbery Estate, laid out in 1910 with Watcombe Road as its western boundary. The two estates are very different with residents of the leafy and affluent "Carbery Estate" very much valuing their status (indeed the term "Carbery Estate" is still used by estate agents). It might be more meaningful and inclusive therefore to dub the proposed western ward "the Beaufort and West Carbery Ward".</p> <p>(g) and (h) - 4 councillors per ward does sound excessive, especially in the proposed Southbourne Overcliffe ward where there have been no great dranas since the stabilisation of the cliffs in the 1970s.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
I159	Southbourne	<p>(a) Please see comments on the wider issues (Bournemouth, Poole) re benefits of co-ordination, consistency and liaison across the conurbation plus the efficiency gains (to council(s) and residents) from having a single body address issues.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I160	Southbourne	<p>(a)</p> <p>The current arrangements should remain in place and it should be left to the residents to instigate local parish councils if and when they feel the need. This will better reflect what residents in the various areas want enabling them to define what they consider to be the identified boundaries of their community as well as identifying the issues that are specific to their areas – rather than having it imposed upon them.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
I161	Southbourne	<p>1. This is likely to suffer from the same democratic deficit of existing parish councils - abysmally low turnouts and uncontested elections. Both are deeply undemocratic. It would be more democratic for BCP Council to continue to perform the functions that this proposed community council would perform and for the BCP Council ward councillors to represent their residents through BCP Council and its processes.</p> <p>2. In addition to the democratic deficit, Southbourne is a more affluent area than other parts of Bournemouth. It would be able to raise more revenue than less affluent areas, to provide a small number of additional services to Southbourne which would not be accessible to other parts of Bournemouth, because in reality they would not be able to afford it with the realistic precept level in their respective areas. This would create an inequality of local services - the more affluent areas would have more services, while the less affluent areas would have fewer services. That would be grossly unfair. Working through BCP Council ensures that resources are distributed more fairly.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
I162	Southbourne	<p>a - h I really don't see any need or benefit to Southbourne West and/or East from having a parish council. It will simply cost the residents more without having any benefit. Most Bournemouth residents don't understand local government now and having unnecessary parish councils as well as the main council will really confuse people. There is also no need for all the extra councillors.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I163	Southbourne	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
I164	Southbourne	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I165	Southbourne	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
I166	Southbourne	a) I see no benefit in creating any new layers of government below BCP Council. I believe that the merger of the three councils (Bournemouth Poole Christchurch) has led to economies of scale which enable the efficient and cost-effective provision of services. To introduce additional local layers of service provision would not be an improvement as the fragmentation of control would lead to less efficiency and higher costs. Further, I find it convenient to have a single point of contact when dealing with 'the council'. I would find it more difficult to make contact with the appropriate service provider and would feel less able to hold them to account if service provision was split across BCP council and a local council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I167	Southbourne	a) It an unnecessary level of beauracy and an informal council parish council could be created under the existing charter system g)-h) The number of councilors should be less than present BCP councilors supprting the area	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
I168	Southbourne	a) to h) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
I169	Southbourne	a) My belief is there is not an appetite for a Parish or Community Council in Bournemouth as a whole and this CGR has generated a consultation to choose one or another. Instead I am of the opinion if to 'do nothing at all' was an option in the consultation then the majority of the consultation responses would be in favor of a 'change is unnecessary' response.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I170	Southbourne	a, b, c, d, e, f, g, & h I do not agree with the creation of a parish council for this area. This proposal will create an additional layer of local government which undoes some of the streamlining/cost saving benefits of the council reorganisation that has taken place over recent years. It will inevitably increase council tax for residents. The ethos of creating small boroughs/parishes is inward-looking and exclusive - I prefer to belong to a town which is inclusive towards all residents and where facilities and opportunities are shared and open to all. b. through h. - I disagree with because all are irrelevant without the creation of proposed parish councils.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I171	Southbourne	<p>a, d</p> <p>I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.</p> <p>Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.</p> <p>Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
I172	Southbourne	<p>'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?</p> <p>'b' What suits one will definitely not suit the other!</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
I173	Southbourne	<p>a,b,c,d and any other. Another layer of bureaucracy is not required in the BCP area. There is no rush to make a decision today or next year. If any party has reason to believe Community Governance is needed that subject can be part of the manifesto at the next local elections in May 2027.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
I174	Southbourne	<p>a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h There is no need for further levels of administration. You haven't shown how this would benefit the local population or BCP as a whole. It just seems to be a way of diverting work from the existing council, making their lives easier at the expense of everyone else. We need a breakdown of cost benefits before something like this is proposed</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I175	Southbourne	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
I176	Southbourne	a,b,d and f. I believe with the governments current proposals on local government we should not be considering any further changes in local government until the governments decisions on merging councils has been completed and we should take a lead from central government. We have no need for additional councillors and by introducing an additional council we will lengthen the time made for decisions, increase costs and will not provide any material benefit. Additionally introducing a parish council ward that cuts across two unitary authority wards adds confusion and no benefit	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
I177	Southbourne	a. I do not want Southbourne to be a parish. I do not agree with this statement "It was further noted that there should be no reason for the operation of the allotments to be negatively impacted by inclusion in the parish boundary." This is an opinion, not a fact. As an allotment holder, the new parish council would become our landlord. I am very concerned that ley people with no experience or knowledge of allotmenting (or possibly of even running a parish council!) would be making decisions which fundamentally affect the running of our society. We would lose the oversight of our very experienced allotment officer on BCP Council.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
I178	Southbourne	a. I don't believe that a parish needs to be set up in Southbourne. Parish councils are a waste of money, and also they won't have enough money to attend to jobs in a timely manner, they will therefore get contracted out, and not done properly. The environment will suffer the most as the effort won't be made to address the crisis facing nature, as money will be going on wages that do not need to be spent.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I179	Southbourne	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
I180	Southbourne	abolish the council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I181	Southbourne	Adding more layers to the council is neither helpful or efficient and most likely would not add any benefit to the local tax payer. The existing council should improve its efficiency and effectiveness rather than wasting time on the proposed idea.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
I182	Southbourne	A-h) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I183	Southbourne	a-h. higher running costs and less efficiency b Fisherman's Walk historically is part of Pokesdown.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
I184	Southbourne	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I185	Southbourne	<p>All draft recommendations : I strongly disagree that there should be a new community council on this area for the following reasons</p> <p>a)The proposals have been put forward by a small number of individuals and groups.</p> <p>b) Most residents do not even know about these proposals. They have not been democratically chosen.</p> <p>d) It will lead to artificial divisions between neighbourhoods depending on amount of precept charged between Southbourne and other areas surrounding it. This will in turn lead to different levels of service, which will entrench difference and divisions instead of fostering collaboration within and between communities.</p> <p>e) It is not democratic, very few people have contributed to these proposals</p> <p>f) It will cost residents more in a time where many people can ill afford it.</p> <p>g) There are not likely to be many people who want to stand as councillors, leading to a deficit in democracy</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
I186	Southbourne	All of the above	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
I187	Southbourne	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I188	Southbourne	An in-necessary expence	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
I189	Southbourne	<p>b) I don't feel the boundary is right as it cuts through areas considered by most to be part of the general Southbourne area. I'd be concerned that 2 different community councils wouldn't work well together to ensure consistency of approach to all of the Southbourne Grove commercial area.</p> <p>As a resident, I consider I live in Southbourne and I regularly use and enjoy all Southbourne has to offer yet I'd sit in Boscombe North and Pokesdown Hill ward and the precept I'd pay wouldn't be contributing to the community and area I spend my time in.</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I190	Southbourne	<p>BCP Council is already the democratically elected local authority responsible for this area, with four ward councillors who are directly accountable to residents. This structure ensures that local issues are represented without creating additional layers of bureaucracy or confusion. The current system works and already provides residents with accessible representation and a route to influence local decision-making.</p> <p>Introducing a parish council would duplicate responsibilities, add unnecessary complexity, and risk creating confusion about who is accountable for what. It would fragment governance and dilute the clarity of local democracy at a time when we should be aiming for more coherence and transparency, not less.</p> <p>Moreover, parish councils bring with them additional financial burdens. While not required to raise a precept, in practice they often do, as they can do little without one. This would result in higher council tax bills for residents in Southbourne at a time when the cost of living is already a serious concern. Council tax is a regressive tax, and adding further charges would disproportionately impact those least able to pay.</p> <p>Establishing a parish council also risks entrenching inequality. More affluent areas may be able to raise higher precepts to fund better local services, while less affluent areas would struggle to keep up, undermining fairness across the wider community.</p> <p>BCP was created to unify our area, deliver services efficiently, and speak with one voice. Fragmenting that structure through new parish councils runs directly counter to its founding purpose. Southbourne does not need a parish council—it needs to remain part of a strong, unified Bournemouth working together with the wider BCP community to build a fairer, more cohesive future.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
I191	Southbourne	Bournemouth should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Bournemouth Borough Council.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I192	Southbourne	Disagree. I don't want a parish council. Complete waste of time and money and I do not want to pay any additional council tax to fund this. I pay too much as it is. Why should the residents fund this? If the council want to do this they need to fund it themselves. Complete waste of money.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
I193	Southbourne	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
I194	Southbourne	Doesn't need a parish council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
I195	Southbourne	Southbourne Parish contains the BEAS allotments which would fall under their control as opposed to the BCP appointed allotment officer. Why wrest control from a well established expert and hand it to some unknown with little or no experience or expertise, at additional cost? Nothing has been proposed by those supporting the Parish Council other than spending money which can already be claimed on a one day festival on Fisherman's Walk.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I196	Southbourne	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
I197	Southbourne	Here, I feel that Southbourne Overcliffe should include Pokesdown as well, given that Pokesdown melds into Southbourne and that the community of Pokesdown is geographically and socially much more aligned to Southbourne.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
I198	Southbourne	I am against insofar as the reasons detailed in the Bournemouth Town response.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
I199	Southbourne	I am happy for the existing parish councils to continue but disagree with the creation of any further parish councils being created within the BCP Area. The whole point of having a unitary authority was to have economies of scale with fewer staff and less costs. Why spend more money on a local government tier that has very limited power? It's just another layer of bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I200	Southbourne	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
I201	Southbourne	I disagree in the same way as I disagreed on the boscombe and pokesdown nonsense	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
I202	Southbourne	I disagree with all of these as I don't agree with Southbourne being made into a Parish Council on its own. If town councils were to go ahead then Southbourne should be a part of Bournemouth. If it is allowed to be on its own then all the wards of Poole and Bournemouth should also be separate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
I203	Southbourne	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I204	Southbourne	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
I205	Southbourne	I disagree with the parishing of parts of Bournemouth as an idea. I think the division of communities is unhelpful and will not serve the interests of the people of Bournemouth well. I can see why people think it will, but without additional money via a precept, we will simply be adding a layer of bureaucracy. The precept adds an extra cost that people do not need. Our responsibility is to make BCP work, not hand parts of it over to someone else. Parishes are the least democratic form of local government, with a huge proportion of elections uncontested.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
I206	Southbourne	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
I207	Southbourne	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I208	Southbourne	I do not feel any need for another layer or beaurocracy, on the whole the existing arrangements work reasonably well given the tight budget. Although I live within the Littledown and Iford ward I have an allotment at the BEAS site. This will fall under Southbourne under the new proposed council areas rather than under Bournemouth as it is at present. You say that the operation of this site will not be negatively impacted, however if the association no longer has access to support from Bournemouth's experienced allotment officer this will not be the case. Further, can you guarantee that under Southbourne council the BEAS tenancy will be renewed? Will residents from the larger Bournemouth area be entitled to apply for plots at the site as they are now? I believe that applications will be restricted to those living within, or within a mile of the Southbourne boundary. Given that very few other allotment sites exist within the BCP area this will unfairly disadvantage those living outside of this area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I209	Southbourne	I don't think Bournemouth should be split into parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
I210	Southbourne	I fail to see any benefit in this proposal and believe it will just add unnecessary cost and layers of management.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I211	Southbourne	I live in Boscombe and have an allotment in Southbourne (Bournemouth East Allotment Society). Allotments are one of only two statutory areas that would fall within the remit of the proposed parish council. As there is a proposal that allotment holders would need to live within one mile of the boundaries, this means that many current potholders could lose their plots. This has a significant impact on wellbeing (it is well known that gardening greatly improves mental health) and the strong BEAS community. We would also lose the support of the dedicated BCP officer	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I212	Southbourne	I was under the impression that creating the BCP council was to reduce the overheads in local government. Introducing a new town council would seem to be an increase in costs and involve additional council tax for residents. At a time when council tax is at an all time high but public services are declining in the BCP area, this doesn't seem an appropriate move.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
I213	Southbourne	I'm not overall in favour of extending the councils down into town/parish councils. I think the extra work required in both setting up and running them does not give sufficient benefit within BCP. Specifically on this proposal, I am in LI3 and if these councils are to go ahead, it makes much more sense to be included within the Southbourne parish than the large Bournemouth one. Christchurch Road forms a natural boundary much like the railway in these proposals, and in terms of fit, we are far more likely to use Southbourne facilities (or Christchurch) than any other. We can walk to Southbourne but the town centre is drive or long bus trip away.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
I214	Southbourne	Not effective use of funds or time to create another level of governance within BCP.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I215	Southbourne	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
I216	Southbourne	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
I217	Southbourne	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
I218	Southbourne	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I219	Southbourne	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
I220	Southbourne	Parish councils represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
I221	Southbourne	Re a and d - the parish council should not be created. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not increasing it. I do not think the areas that do have these already get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
I222	Southbourne	Recommendations a to i: I strongly object to the creation of parishes within BCP Council area and certainly do not wish for one to be created for the area within which I reside. This is an unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy which will have to be paid for by residents, imposing a further financial burden on them. We already have local Councillors for our area who work on our behalf, consult and initiate/progress projects for the local area. Residents already have the opportunity to have their say, voice concerns and promote initiatives via multiple channels	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I223	Southbourne	Scrap this council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
I224	Southbourne	See comments under Broadstone.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
I225	Southbourne	Should be part of an independent Bournemouth Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
I226	Southbourne	Southbourne is part of Bournemouth and should not be sectioned off	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I227	Southbourne	Southbourne is part of the larger urban area of BCP. There is not enough difference to warrant making the area a separate parish.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
I228	Southbourne	Strongly disagree - complete waste of time and money. Will lead to unnecessary layers of bureaucracy, which will confuse residents. We do not need more councillors, with the added cost this will bring. It will add an extra burden to the council tax payer when many people are struggling with the cost of living, to pay for service that BCP Council should already be delivering. Why should we pay twice for the same services. BCP was formed to bring legacy council together to make vital savings - this proposal is just going backwards and recreating a larger, more cumbersome service structure	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
I229	Southbourne	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authority cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
I230	Southbourne	Terrible idea again, too small an area to have any effective difference to peoples lives. A ridiculous amount of councillors covering microscopic areas that should all be part of a larger authority.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I231	Southbourne	The area is already served by Councillors, albeit weak, there is no need to waste any further money on a Lib Lem talking shop.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
I232	Southbourne	The boundaries are arbitrary and not conducive to social cohesion. Parish Councils will merely create division and inequity. They will also cost residents more money with little - if any -tangible beneficial impact.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I233	Southbourne	The boundaries are ridiculous. This looks like wealthier people want to annex out Boscombe manor.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I234	Southbourne	The boundary between this proposal and Boscombe splits a community and would be confusing to local residents.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I235	Southbourne	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
I236	Southbourne	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
I237	Southbourne	There is no need for a further level of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I238	Southbourne	There is no need for an additional costly layer of bureaucracy, there is no strong evidence that this will support any improvement in the area.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I239	Southbourne	There is not a need for extra bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
I240	Southbourne	This extra layer of local government is superfluous, and will be powerless and useless but very expensive.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
I241	Southbourne	This represents another layer of bureaucracy throughout the area with additional costs. We have only just got rid of the 'original' layers of local government at considerable cost (although it was sold as a cost saving). Now you intend to set up all these additional layers. It implies the original decision was wrong - we end up paying for your mistakes!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I242	Southbourne	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
I243	Southbourne	<p>This will not be beneficial to Southbourne as Bcp we will better without another Council, which will add extra costs to the residence for no benefits</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
I244	Southbourne	<p>Too much red tape</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I245	Southbourne	<p>Under 12(a) I strongly disagree that a parish of Southbourne be established. This creates a whole extra level of unnecessary bureaucracy and confusion over which council is responsible for what. This will be extremely inefficient and it would be better if BCP Council could improve their own services rather than making it more complicated for residents to get things done.</p> <p>Under 12(b) it sets up artificial divisions between the communities of Pokesdown/Boscombe and Southbourne and may create competing priorities. Fisherman's Walk has been put into Southbourne Council even though these amenities are used by the surrounding streets which are in the Boscombe and Pokesdown Council, but those residents will have no say about the use and maintenance of this green space. The Woodside Road Car Park has also been put into the Southbourne Council area and this means that the businesses in Seabourne Road will have no say about the use and maintenance of this car park which is vital to them.</p> <p>The two Councils of Boscombe and Pokesdown, and Southbourne, may have competing priorities so things like maintenance along the clifftop, or along roads that run across the boundaries, may change dramatically as you cross the boundary. All this is divisive and unnecessary.</p> <p>Under 12(g) - an extra 12 councillors seems a completely unnecessary bureaucratic layer.</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I246	Southbourne	Unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
I247	Southbourne	<p>Unnecessary layers an unclear benefit!</p> <p>These plans will add confusion over which council does which job. Keep it simple! It doesn't seem this will help the community cohesion by having multiple layers of council for each area.</p> <p>I am concern this implementation will be reflected in more costs.</p> <p>BCP should improve their own services rather than creating new councils to do their job</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I248	Southbourne	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
I249	Southbourne	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
I250	Southbourne	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
I251	Southbourne	We shot not be chopping up areas like this. Most people in BCP don't want this and it will just add another layer of complexity.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I252	Southbourne	Yet another level of government is not necessary, will attract a derisory vote in any election, and will create yet another excuse for busybodies to posture. One hopes that should such a body be created it will be confined to purely local issues. BCP itself now has an international reputation for repression of individual liberty .	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
I253	Southbourne	Waste of Money, should be covered under by BCP	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
I254	Southbourne	b. Some local councillors claim that the boundary will cut across the community instead of providing a boundary that fits with the local community. This concerns me because the purpose of parishes is to bring the community closer and not to create artificial divisions. If there is no sensible boundary to define this parish, then it should be part of a bigger parish .	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
I255	Southbourne	I am concerned that neighbourhoods such as Charminster will once again be left behind if other neighbourhoods get this status.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8

Q48 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Southbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I256	Southbourne	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
I257	Southbourne	the wording is confusing as there are only 3 wards - difficult to draw a boundary between the areas of southbourne/pokesdown/boscombe	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I258	Southbourne	Why change for change's sake?? Too many Councillors	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I259	Southbourne	I also think that the neighbouring streets that are technically in Boscombe East and Pokesdown such as Montague Road, Rotherfield Road, Dingle Road and part of Wentworth Avenue should be considered as Southbourne if residents of these areas wish to be in Southbourne. Many residents don't relate to the Electoral Ward they find themselves in.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I260	Southbourne	<p>As Chair of Southbourne Forum I have tried to be in step with [REDACTED] Chair of Boscombe Forum & we have already discussed aspects of working very close together, perhaps even sharing an admin clerk so that there is full oversight of both areas. We have some of the best coastline that BCP has & we should take even more pride in it, we have common issues with overnight camper vans, they take up at least one car parking space & aspects such as waste disposal & general hygiene are matters that should be supervised rather than policed. Anti social behaviour, like racing motor bikes, e-scooter & e-bikes are also an issue & a hazard to all, as are the areas for the youth to gather, almost all youth clubs in the area have ceased except one I believe run by a church on The Beaufort Estate. As part of an establishment of a council I would hope that they look at those organisations who are helping youngsters do something valuable like Southbourne Tennis, the rugby clubs at Iford & the Surf Life Savers. These are groups that a Council could support to give the youth some purpose. IMHO it's not just about play parks as they become areas that people 'hang out' at. Which brings me to a CSAS officer, I look with envy at Christchurch TC & their officer [REDACTED] who has a a very high profile in the town & works well with the Police. Parking, ASB & shoplifting are now seen as the biggest 3 issues in the Community, with a CSAS officer just think what we could achieve. We already have several very engaged local organisations that help to make the community look & feel good, but insurance worries me here, all we need is one claim to go in for something & all would stop. These things need to be carried out by authorised organisations who have insurance. Not only do we have the voice of Southbourne Forum but we also have the newly established Southbourne Wick & Tuckton Action Group (SWAT) an initiative established by Bernadette Nanovo for the area of Seafeld, Tuckton & Wick, but also Bournemouth's longest established residents association in Hengistbury Head Residents Association (HENRA) of whom I am also a Committee member, this organisation is extremely well led & managed by [REDACTED]. I only wish the Forum had as much influence as either of these two organisations, it is beginning to happen as we work closer. I should also say that I have been a local Rotarian for Boscombe & Southbourne Rotary Club, I am currently their Club Development Lead & in my networking for this & promoting the need for people to have their say I have seen the apathy out there. I have run 7 public meetings, one of which I was pleased to have Richard Jones at, on top of that our social media promotion has also reached many thousands of people. But still there have only been 1,800 views of the really well put together video out of a population of 400,000, this is shocking apathy in my view. I am also sorry it has turned into a bit of a slanging match between various political parties, it is appalling that funds have been spent slagging one another off at a time where we need to be united. We can't change the past we can only influence the future, I really want to try to have this as unpolitical as possible, I might be in cloud cuckoo land but if Southbourne didn't have any current councillors on it, I don't think they would mind or would the public. IMHO there are enough public spirited people who genuinely believe in the community that are currently doing things unpaid who would be prepared to stand as councillors. On</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		top of the training I would be looking to ensure that we have a cross of skills, legal, finance, local authority working & perhaps most of all in this day & age, someone to look at Comms & someone to look at the environment. Exciting & a little bit scary at the same time, but that's what life is about. Sorry I'm preaching a little, thanks for reading this far :-)					

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I261	Southbourne	<p>As Chair of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Forum, I would like to stress the importance of preserving the historical identity, planning integrity, and community cohesion of Pokesdown when considering the proposed boundary changes.</p> <p>Pokesdown is older than both Boscombe and Southbourne and has a well-established sense of place that many residents are keen to protect. While we understand that areas like Fisherman's Walk hold significance for Southbourne residents, these spaces have long been shared with — and closely tied to — the communities of Pokesdown and Boscombe East. Reassigning them risks eroding longstanding historical, social and civic connections.</p> <p>The proposal to move Fisherman's Walk, the adjacent car park, and a block of buildings into Southbourne was not suggested by either the Boscombe and Pokesdown Forum or any Southbourne-based group. It appears to have been introduced at a late stage without meaningful community consultation. These changes feel arbitrary and poorly evidenced — particularly given their impact on the Boscombe and Pokesdown Neighbourhood Plan, which was developed through years of engagement and has already been formally adopted.</p> <p>If these areas are removed from the Boscombe and Pokesdown boundary, they risk losing the protections and planning policies currently afforded by the neighbourhood plan — especially as Southbourne has not yet developed one of its own. This would create both confusion and policy uncertainty.</p> <p>Furthermore, there is concern that certain proposed boundary shifts could be driven by the higher property values and perceived prestige associated with the Southbourne name. While this may be understandable from a market perspective, such acquisitive motivations are not valid grounds to redraw long-established community lines. Reclassifying parts of Pokesdown into Southbourne for reasons of image or value risks undermining both local pride and historical continuity.</p> <p>Fisherman's Walk, in particular, is not just a valued green space — it is one of the original coastal access routes from Boscombe's days as a town formed of three landed estates: the Portman, Shelley, and Drummond-Wolff estates. It remains a living link to that heritage and is used daily by people from both sides of Christchurch Road.</p> <p>We therefore urge that these proposed boundary changes be reconsidered, and that decisions of this kind remain rooted in local history, identity, and community consultation — not short-term economic or administrative expediency.</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I262	Southbourne	<p>As far as I can recall, Fisherman's Walk has always been considered part of Southbourne; it is the clear and obvious "entrance" to Southbourne for many visitors coming up from the beach so I cannot see why it would not remain part of Southbourne.</p>	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I263	Southbourne	Boundary to Beaufort Ward could be changed to include the roads down from Beresford Road to Beaufort. Would straighten the boundary line down rather than missing a block	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I264	Southbourne	Considering the size of the population in this area, I feel more than 8 councillors might be better.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I265	Southbourne	I agree that decisions should be taken at as low a level as practical but with great care not to increase costs.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I266	Southbourne	I have no issue with any slight boundary changes or the amount of volunteer councillors required to run it. Any negatives would be the extra cost and persuading the residents that this would be for their benefit as the money goes straight into the community pot.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I267	Southbourne	I just want to point out that the consultation keeps referring to Southbourne CC as having 4 wards, yet there are only 3 proposed. It's a little confusing when filling out the form. I hope that having a body that represents we locals and our important concerns for where we live / work / visit, will allow local issues to be taken more seriously, be prioritised, and get things done more quickly. We know the issues we face, and need more local representation for those issues.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I268	Southbourne	Like to keep library maybe in shop area in Tuckton if cannot stay where it is.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I269	Southbourne	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I270	Southbourne	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I271	Southbourne	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I272	Southbourne	No further comment	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I273	Southbourne	Presumably there will be only 3 parish wards rather than the 4 mentioned in the proposal. There are only 3 on the map and in the list?	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I274	Southbourne	The hard copy available at local libraries is confusing and does not give the same level of detail available online. This will disadvantage Southbourne residents and confuse voting. Southbourne is not clearly identified in the hard copy etc	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I275	Southbourne	The inclusions of ex officio non-voting members put forward by groups as suggested at 14	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I276	Southbourne	There have been high levels of misinformation, (eg on Council Tax) and fears (e.g. that somehow areas will become fortresses, dividing residents). This is not the case in the rest of Dorset or parished areas of BCP. These can only really be dispelled by giving it a go and learning from other local councils.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I277	Southbourne	What will it cover. Why would it cost more than it does now a it seems it will. Not clear on cost benefits, so difficult to agree or disagree with this.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I278	Southbourne	As long as BCP Councillors do a good job, that is all we want. Another council is not likely to make things any better.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I279	Southbourne	(b) I think Seabourne Road shops restaurants flats and houses up to Ashbourne Road should be moved from 'Boscombe and Pokesdown' to 'Southbourne'. The current 'Welcome to Southbourne' sign is just east of Ashbourne Road.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I280	Southbourne	<p>5.1 the statement that parish and town councils can serve as "a key representative voice and often acting as the eyes and ears for other upper tier local government, public agencies and other organisations to raise local concerns" does not make sense. Those functions are already the responsibility of the ward councillors we elect to BCP.</p> <p>5.5 the precepts quoted are only for existing parish councils within BCP/Dorset. There is a risk that they could be higher. It is also misleading to claim that many town/parish councils do not charge a precept at all. That might be the case within BCP/Dorset, but I understand nearly 9,000 of the 10,000 town/parish councils in England do charge a precept, with the average charge for a Band D property being more than £90.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I281	Southbourne	A town or parish council risks creating unnecessary layers of bureaucracy, distancing residents from decision-makers rather than bringing them closer. Local voices should be empowered directly through existing channels, not diluted by additional structures that may lack real influence or accountability.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I282	Southbourne	All of the draft proposals should be rejected.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I283	Southbourne	<p>Also, there will undoubtedly be differences of opinion regarding how the additional funds will be spent. There appears to be a general consensus that more needs to be done for the youth - however no parents of young children & teenagers has attended any meeting bringing their thoughts as to what the youth actually want nor seen constructive suggestions on the social media sites.</p> <p>Given the number of households in the Southbourne area I have been very disappointed at the small amount of engagement & general apathy towards this consultation, with some stating 'well the councils going to do it anyway'!</p> <p>I am think that 'another layer' of bureaucracy is unnecessary & that there are ways to raise funds through other means (so I'm told). A community council would place a huge commitment on the 'volunteer councillors' & this type of role would almost certainly come with a fair share abuse from the public accusing them of claiming for excessive expenses/not placing the funds in the right area etc.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I284	Southbourne	As above	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I285	Southbourne	As above, scrap the whole process for all of BCP. It is a waste of time and money which could be better utilised with dealing with existing issues.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I286	Southbourne	As above.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I287	Southbourne	As mentioned in para 14 above these draft proposals will just add confusion over an already confused scenario. We should be keeping things simple without adding more and more layers of bureaucratic red tape. BCP should be improving their own services rather than creating new Councils to do their job for them. These plans will not help community cohesions by having multiple layers of councils for each area.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I288	Southbourne	Believe parish councils should be scrapped, simply another layer of cost and bureaucracy which be served better by centralised service.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I289	Southbourne	Charge for parking in the clifftop, stop selling off car parks for luxury flats, stop faffing by trying to break down areas so you can all pass the buck between you.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I290	Southbourne	Comment: I do not agree with an additional levy on BCP Council Tax to support some 'vanity project' proposal.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I291	Southbourne	<p>Consultation not well advertised-deliberately so few people could comment.</p> <p>Documents not easy to understand</p> <p>What do the present councillors for Southbourne do.</p> <p>Why would Southbourne need TWELVE councillors to administer allotments.</p> <p>Are the new councillors to receive expenses? If not, why will councillors tax be increased?</p> <p>Just another layer of bureaucracy!!</p> <p>Getting to Haveyoursay form is very difficult - deliberately?</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I292	Southbourne	<p>Dear BCP Council,</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed creation of a town or parish council for Southbourne.</p> <p>1. No Need for Additional Layers of Government</p> <p>Southbourne already receives services through BCP Council and its existing structures. Introducing another tier of government is unnecessary and inefficient. It risks duplicating responsibilities, confusing accountability, and adding further bureaucracy to a system that already struggles with responsiveness and clarity.</p> <p>2. Increased Council Tax is Unjustified</p> <p>The introduction of a parish or town council would inevitably lead to higher council tax, regardless of promises that the precept may be small. The average charges in other areas (e.g. Christchurch Town at £70.23) show this can be a significant additional burden, especially during a time when households are already under financial pressure. Instead of introducing new costs, the council should be finding ways to reduce the overall tax burden on residents.</p> <p>3. Focus on Efficiency, Not Expansion</p> <p>Local government should follow the same principles households are forced to apply: live within their means. Many residents are cutting costs and prioritising spending. The council should do the same. It is no longer acceptable to justify new spending or governance structures by citing reductions in central government funding. Like residents, the council must learn to prioritise, streamline services, and make responsible cuts instead of inflating the public sector at local expense.</p> <p>4. Risks of Mission Creep and Redundancy</p> <p>Even if initial responsibilities of a parish or town council seem minor (e.g., Christmas lights or noticeboards), there is a clear risk of mission creep. These councils often begin to take on broader roles and spend more, without delivering proportionate value. Southbourne does not need another platform for token projects that come at real cost.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>5. Representation Already Exists</p> <p>There are already elected councillors representing Southbourne through BCP Council. If residents are dissatisfied, the answer lies in holding those councillors accountable, not creating more politicians, more committees, or more meetings.</p> <p>In conclusion, I urge the Task and Finish Group and Full Council to reject the proposal to create a parish or town council for Southbourne. It would be a retrograde step, introducing unnecessary cost, complexity, and confusion, when what we really need is leaner, smarter, more accountable local governance.</p>					
I293	Southbourne	Don't do it	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I294	Southbourne	For the above reasons completely disagree with the formation of community councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I295	Southbourne	Hopefully the consultation will show a strong disagreement with the plans. Please spend no more time, effort & money on this issue.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I296	Southbourne	I	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I297	Southbourne	I am concerned how Bournemouth East Allotment Society fits into the new Parish Council structure as the existing lease is provided by BCP Council and there is an existing good relationship between the two. I am strongly against any proposal in which a new Southbourne Parish Council can dictate or set new rules on behalf of the Bournemouth East Allotment Society as to limiting plot holders to only those living within the boundaries of the Southbourne Parish Council. Most existing wards within Bournemouth do not have an allotment situated within their boundaries and so many residents across all of Bournemouth are required to travel across the town to work their plots. These are keen and eager individuals whom are passionate about the environment and being outdoors. It will be extremely concerning should a new Southbourne Parish Council decide to politically interfere in an existing organisation which works well.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I298	Southbourne	I believe I've said it all in reply to question 14	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I299	Southbourne	I believe the implementation of the added parish councils will not benefit the people	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I300	Southbourne	I do not agree with a parish council	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I301	Southbourne	I do not feel there is any need for change what so ever. Like most things once change is made it is very hard to admit that the change was not for the better and is hard to revert.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I302	Southbourne	I feel that this was not advertised well enough. I only heard about this in passing from social media. I feel that mostly advertising through social media and even making this online survey disenfranchises many groups from having their say - particularly the elderly who make up a huge part of the local community. . In addiion I'm very disappointed in the amiumt of money that is likely have been spent on this review during a cost of living crisis when the council cannot provide surficient housing for its residents.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I303	Southbourne	I had this additional layer and cost at a previous address and it was much worse for it. I strongly disagree with it being implemented here	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I304	Southbourne	<p>I have serious concerns about these proposals, particularly with regard to Inequality in local services in different parts of our town – some areas getting better services than others. Objections to new parish councils within BCP are further set out below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Higher council tax bills. 2. More layers of bureaucracy at a time when faith in politics is so low. 3. It being harder to hold elected officials to account, with abysmal turnouts at parish council elections. 4. A step backwards from the strategic, whole-conurbation vision that BCP Council needs to unlock its full growth potential 5. The only way town and parish councils could pay for the services they end up running, like parks, leisure centres, bin collections and libraries, is by pushing local people's council tax up even higher. 6. At a time when people are rightly concerned about inequality in local services and the harm inequality does to people's life chances, BCP Council should not be creating yet more inequality in local services 	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I305	Southbourne	I note that in local parish councils established in 2019 elections were contested in 2019 but then not in 2023, apart from one ward in Christchurch. I feel strongly that the premise of setting up councils made up from volunteers is deeply flawed. Where is the governance and vetting of these probably well meaning but possibly not capable individuals? Alarm bells ring when the initial enthusiasm for putting themselves up to contest an election has disappeared and the council continues with no possible change of personnel? People who put themselves forward may have particular pet interests and may make short term decisions with unforeseen consequences down the line. The whole premise seems to be a way to off load some responsibilities from the council but the approach of getting some members of the community, whose only qualification may be the location of their house, to take these responsibilities on seems very risky.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I306	Southbourne	I strongly oppose another layer of bureaucracy. BCP should improve its services to Hengistbury Head residents using the existing councillors. Big opportunity for rate payers to be passed from council to council with nothing happening.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I307	Southbourne	I think we're better off without this community governance, it'll just end up costing us, the residents more money. Seems like its a good excuse for BCP to stop bothering with stuff like park benches, christmas lights or trees, public bins etc and simply palm off the cost to the local council, in addition to the council tax paid to BCP...	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I308	Southbourne	I would like to see less local government not more. I have lived in Southbourne all of my life and have witnessed a gradual decline in the area caused by outsiders coming in and changing things. The very fact that this consultation has been so difficult to complete shows me that this is a fait accompli. How on earth can you justify these changes and extra charges. I say no, no, no You Liberal Democrats are not fit for purpose...and who actually are this apparent secret cabal who are suggesting these changes...Looking forward to a future Reform council	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I309	Southbourne	I would like to see the role of the Community Forum strengthened.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I310	Southbourne	<p>If the councils have to go ahead, the boundary should follow that of the Boscombe & Pokesdown Neighbourhood Plan for practicality.</p> <p>Woodside Road car park should be in the same council as the shops on Seabourne Road that rely on it.</p> <p>Fisherman's Walk should be in the same council as Cafe Riva and the cliff lift as the maintenance should be joined-up.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I311	Southbourne	If the proposal for Southbourne Parish Council were already adopted.it would make no difference to local residents. Local residents were not listened to regarding the disposal of Southbourne Crossroads car park. So nothing would change with another level of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I312	Southbourne	Improve the systems already in place rather than creating even more levels of poor service.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I313	Southbourne	Is this not a task that our local MP is already achieving? Should BCP as a council not already be achieving this too? Could time, effort and public money instead be spent on streamlining the local council, improving efficiencies in the local council, and spending greater time "on the ground" engaging in local community? As an active member of the local community myself, my family and I have seen first hand the cuts that BCP have made- especially on the beachfront, something so many BCP locals are proud of. When "efficiency" is such a focus in wider UK Government and the Civil Service, maybe BCP council could consider the same- instead of spending further funds on increasing levels of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I314	Southbourne	It is all unnecessary	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I315	Southbourne	It just feels like another layer of ineffectiveness. Surely we need less discussion and more doing	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I316	Southbourne	Just don't waste our money and time.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I317	Southbourne	Just not needed. It will only add another layer of bureaucracy and achieve nothing except increasing our local tax bill. If the existing councillors do their job properly it would not be needed	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I318	Southbourne	Just that southbourne and tuckton area wonderful place to live	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I319	Southbourne	Leave things alone.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I320	Southbourne	Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I321	Southbourne	My recommendation is make Bournemouth special location to live, we don't need more layers of councillors ie parish councillors.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I322	Southbourne	N.a.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I323	Southbourne	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I324	Southbourne	NO changes please	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I325	Southbourne	No need for this extra layer, as current set up works well. The borough is as good as the councillor who represent the area. Adding an extra layer will not improve matters if not, slow things up!	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I326	Southbourne	No thank you.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I327	Southbourne	Our council seems to want to spend our tax in any way they seem. Our biggest asset is the beach and surrounding area, which is becoming a no go area for a lot of us, speeding cyclist, barking dogs ,dirty and smelly barbecues, dirty and smelly rubbish bins ,sandy prom and zig zags,just to mention a few .	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I328	Southbourne	Our current councillors to continue to engage with the community and implement changes needed.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I329	Southbourne	Parish segregate people, we are one county and should all be together as a community not separated into parishes which in turn become different classes	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I330	Southbourne	Please don't make any more layers of people who have to make decisions it creates delays and confusion parish and town councils don't always represent the majority of residents (white retired). If the savings of scale intended by the mergers of Poole Bournemouth and Christchurch have been effective why reverse this. Targets should be quicker more dynamic decisions making and reasonable costs for the wider community	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I331	Southbourne	Rather than a community council, the Southbourne Forum and local groups should focus their energies and funding on developing a local plan like they have in Boscombe and Pokesdown.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I332	Southbourne	See comments above. I would add that this is just another Government side step in its responsibility to fund local authorities at the correct level.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I333	Southbourne	The boundaries will create a tension between the "parishes" of Pokesdown and Southbourne with some streets divided down the middle. Residents may well end up paying different precepts but using the same playgrounds, allotments etc.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
I334	Southbourne	The changes to areas from wards is confusing to all	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I335	Southbourne	The designation of Southbourne does not, as I understand it, cover the whole of what we locals consider to be Southbourne, especially along Seabourne & Southbourne Roads.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I336	Southbourne	The idea is unnecessary, and will cost money when we are already in financial hardship as a community, penalising the already struggling parts of society.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I337	Southbourne	<p>The process of forming a unitary authority combining Bournemouth Christchurch and Poole has only just been completed, promised to save on overheads thereby reducing costs to us the ratepayers, with apparent reduction of efficiency and higher bills. Of course I am sceptical about creating additional costs with extra councillors, these costs are totally UNCAPPED and being introduced undemocratically it would appear?</p> <p>Ratepayers are already struggling to pay ever increasing council tax capped at 4.99% increase max per annum which covers the increased employer's national insurance costs thereby providing no improvement on services for the increase in cost. Having a parish of Southbourne will further increase costs and the current inadequate maintenance of drains, potholes in roads and weeds growing along the kerbs will continue unchanged!</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I338	Southbourne	The whole plan reeks of personal ambition, political opportunism and a complete disregard for the wider community. If it goes ahead I will campaign to be on the council with the aim of ending it as soon as possible.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I339	Southbourne	There are more important focuses than introducing a new issue such as this - in other words, doing what the council should be doing	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I340	Southbourne	There are too many places selling alcohol in Southbourne. Tackle that problem instead of setting up a Parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I341	Southbourne	This proposal should be abandoned and the council should concentrate on increasing value you for money and better services not increasing the number of councillors, parish or otherwise.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I342	Southbourne	Unnecessary to have this when we already have elected councillors.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I343	Southbourne	We already have Councillors for each area, why do we need more? I'd rather finances/admin remain central BCP with crowdfunder for additional things like Christmas lights, planting etc.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I344	Southbourne	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
I345	Southbourne	We need more police in the area, what is the police commissioner doing? A couple of PCSOs who seem to spend all their time outside supermarkets are no good, we need good proper police who turn up when called and arrest people without fear of being sacked while the knife wielding yob gets away scot free. There is far too much shop lifting, car crime, drug dealing, fights and general yobbos and feral kids with no respect for anyone who get away with everything. The good law abiding residents who pay huge amounts of tax and council tax are tired of it. We are also tired of the inefficiencies at the council and the constant planning applications that are passed allowing money grabbing developers to destroy trees and wildlife habitats, because of greed. We also need more traffic wardens in Southbourne to stop all the illegal parking along the cliff top and surrounding roads. The council need to sort and resolve all the current problems before wasting money on silly ideas. I wonder how much money was spent paying someone to come up with this survey.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I346	Southbourne	What are the alternatives if the views strongly disagree with the council's recommendations	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 5
I347	Southbourne	While I applaud the idea of Community Councils I believe they would take away finance and time from other vital BCP work and as they have no direct powers to influence BCP decisions would be of little value.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I348	Southbourne	You talk about the cost to us. It is only a guess on cost and for the first year only. The cost will rise & rise as years go on. BCP is deeply in the red at present & this proposal will not lessen that.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I349	Southbourne	All the way through, it references Southbourne being split into four parishes, when it is only being split into three, so this is inaccurate.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
I350	Southbourne	<p>The proposal to include part of the Boscombe East Ward in this parish raises concerns. This would create new very small polling districts containing electors voting for different BCP Council wards. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex.</p> <p>This could be resolved by requesting a related alteration from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England to the boundary between the Boscombe East and West Southbourne BCP Council wards to make this coterminous with the proposed parish boundary.</p> <p>If a related alteration cannot be approved, then parts of BE2 and BE3 should not be included in the proposed parish.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living in proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
I351	Southbourne	As above	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
I352	Southbourne	Happy to see a council put in place if it mainly focusses on making the area look and feel better and more events.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
I353	Southbourne	I would like to see improvements to the centre of Southbourne.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I354	Southbourne	Keep it separate from Bournemouth. It has a unique character.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
I355	Southbourne	My main concern is ensuring we get a range of councillors from different age groups & try and keep it politics free as far as possible	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I356	Southbourne	No	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
I357	Southbourne	No, I think it's all good	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I358	Southbourne	<p>Southbourne has an engaged population, I have attended the local information sessions and seen active voluntary groups, and a growing need for local advocacy on infrastructure, events, planning, and green space management. Establishing a community council will provide a local democratic structure capable of responding nimbly and collaboratively to local needs.</p> <p>To ensure the success of the new council: Emphasis should be placed on appointing suitably qualified officers and ensuring councillors receive high-quality induction.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to supporting the formation of a Southbourne Neighbourhood Plan in parallel.</p> <p>Can a Parish Be Too Small? While local identity is important, it must be balanced with sustainability. The law makes no concessions for the size of a parish council—statutory obligations remain the same regardless of whether a council serves 2,000 or 20,000 electors. Smaller councils still face requirements around AGAR submissions, audits, summonses, policy reviews, data protection, financial transparency, and staff management—with far fewer councillors and resources to deliver them.</p> <p>There is a strong argument that Boscombe & Pokesdown and Southbourne would benefit significantly from a combined governance model. While the two areas differ in character and demographic profile, a shared council could offer:</p> <p>Reduced administrative burden – One audit, one set of minutes, one AGAR return, one governance framework.</p> <p>Increased precept base – Greater flexibility to fund community services, events, and improvements.</p> <p>Larger pool of councillors – Strengthened resilience and broader representation.</p> <p>More volunteers and engaged residents – Higher turnout at public meetings and stronger public scrutiny.</p> <p>Improved service delivery – Some services (e.g. youth provision, play spaces, community halls) do not scale efficiently at a hyperlocal level; better outcomes often require critical mass.</p> <p>A merged community council could still respect and reflect local identities through ward-based representation, but with vastly improved governance efficiency and strategic capability.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
I359	Southbourne	These changes would enhance Southbourne for its residents and for visitors.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I360	Southbourne	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
I361	Southbourne	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
I362	Southbourne	Access to green space is equally necessary for the good mental and physical health of all BCP residents. As such I feel they should be overseen in the interests of all residents by experienced BCP officers. As it seems that the proposed local councils will have few other responsibilities it appears to me to be a waste of time and money, not to mention increased council tax, to establish these councils. By all means put a small extra levy on the BCP council tax to improve green and play spaces instead.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I363	Southbourne	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
I364	Southbourne	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I365	Southbourne	As above	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
I366	Southbourne	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
I367	Southbourne	Complete waste of time and money. Only being set up to get more money from the residents which the council will blow on their wages and expenses. A complete rip off.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
I368	Southbourne	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
I369	Southbourne	Disagree with the concept of creating an additional tier and level of bureaucracy for reasons given in previous question. If pursued this should involve a referendum before a decision is taken	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
I370	Southbourne	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I371	Southbourne	Don't do it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
I372	Southbourne	I am also concerned that the removal of the Charter Trustees will end decades of civic tradition associated with the Mayors of Poole and Bournemouth. It is unclear who will be responsible for upholding these traditions, and the regalia that goes with them, or whether the Mayoralities will continue. Bournemouth is a young town with limited history and traditions and it would be very sad to lose the existing traditions that we have. So I object to the introduction of Parish Councils in Bournemouth and Poole for this reason also. It might make more sense under submission 38 to create a Bournemouth Town Council but it is still an inefficient and unnecessary layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I373	Southbourne	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
I374	Southbourne	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
I375	Southbourne	I do not want to pay extra on my council tax	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
I376	Southbourne	I don't think that "Southbourne" should be more important than the other areas e.g. Tucson, so if the parish is created then it should come up with an equitable and fair name to cover all the areas that are proposed to be included in the parish.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I377	Southbourne	I suggest that the current structure of BCP Council be maintained without any further layers of administration being introduced, such as parish councils. The current structure allows for efficient management of the conurbation. It also allows for residents to voice concerns, suggest improvements/change and to work with local Councillors already in place. I suggest that you do not impose this additional layer of bureaucracy which so many residents do not want. There is no justification for abdicating responsibility and introducing parish councils in the guise of "community engagement" which already exists.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I378	Southbourne	I understand and appreciate the community spirit that Southbourne now generates and there is an identity garnered from the success of the high street, which is to be celebrated. But that doesn't need to be restricted within an arbitrary line and it will actually exclude places and people who very much see themselves as part of Southbourne.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
I379	Southbourne	I would like BEAS to remain with BCP Council as our landlord, even if the Southbourne parish is established.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I380	Southbourne	<p>In support of my disagreement at 22. above below is taken from the above where you can see the Boscombe and Pokesdown Submission, has been left in error in the creation of this consultation template. This shows the submissions are not driven by the community rather than a desire to comply with any submission to the CGR.</p> <p>- Stage One Submissions</p> <p>Six submissions (response nos. 36), (42), (43), (44), (48) and (54) were received relating to this part of east Bournemouth. Five were similar in support of a Southbourne parish, although submission (44) was not supportive and expressed concern about the management of the allotments site to the north of the area. A further submission (response no. 38) suggested that the whole of Bournemouth, including Boscombe and Pokesdown, Southbourne and Redhill and Northbourne, should be established as a single Town Council., however, the Task and Finish Group considered that there was sufficient evidence to support the Boscombe and Pokesdown submission as an independent standalone proposal.</p> <p>On the subject of boundaries, I agree with the natural feature of the river as natural, distinct, definitive, feature boundary. However, the Christchurch Road is not such a natural community boundary. Though it does benefit from the history of being a postal coach route in the 18th & 19th centuries.</p> <p>I do not agree that the Eastern Boundary should include (by any reasoning) should be given over to the submission for a Southbourne Community Council. that Fisherman's Walk.</p> <p>It is a distinct natural feature of green space This green space has belonged to the ward boundaries for Pokesdown dating back to the Pokesdown Urban District Council of 1895 and the Pokesdown Parish Council was one of the first to exist. This was long before Southbourne and the Grove existed as we know it today.</p> <p>This 'land grab' of this green space which is confirmed by the Boundaries Commission (and map) lie fully in the Boscombe East and Pokesdown ward. Such a land grab would remove the say of not only BCP Council, and the ward Councillors but also the residents of Boscombe East and Pokesdown ward to have a say to the management and amenity usage of this ward asset.</p> <p>This green space asset of Boscombe East and Pokesdown provided by Fisherman cannot be viewed as artificial, since it dates back to the Victorian age, and has been used since before then by the residents and 'Fishermen' of Pokesdown, before Southbourne existed .</p> <p>What would be recognised as an 'artificial' boundary is Bearsford Road. But Bearsford Road is the electoral boundary between traditionally Boscombe East and Southbourne, therefore it makes no sense to change this Artificial Boundary, for another artificial one that goes down Parkwood Road instead (between Seabourne Road and Southbourne Road).</p> <p>It is farcical to make a submission to take on residences (and electorate) when they have been in Boscombe East since at least 1974. This change of one artificial boundary for another more advantageous artificial boundary will create issues for electoral communications and processes into the future</p> <p>This is why I view this Southbourne submission as a 'landgrab' of the Woodside Road Car Park would remove the potential for revenue or say on parking fees to the residents of Boscombe and Pokesdown should they be successful and form their own Community</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>Council or indeed if not successful in their bid they then become part of a successful Bournemouth Town Council. Even if neither of those submissions are successful the residents will lose out if the Southbourne proposal succeeds.</p> <p>The same losing out is in store for the residents and businesses that would be scooped up in this new boundary 'artificial' and should the Southbourne submission be successful as it stands.</p> <p>In a nutshell the Southbourne Community Council submission was successful as consulted on then it would be overturning half a century of established electoral boundaries.</p> <p>The recommendations are driven by a small response of the 14,933 electorate. ie if a proposal/delegation/submission to CGR was less than 149 individuals it would still be less than 1% of the electorate.</p> <p>If the responses to this consultation for the Southbourne submission was less than 149 ie 1% of the electorate in no way could that be viewed as representative of the communities involved. Indeed it would be dismissed if it were a referendum on such a submission for an 'either/or' that is recommended in this CGR consultation.</p>					
I381	Southbourne	It is not needed, please use the money to fund the council not additional politicians	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I382	Southbourne	It's an unnecessary level of Bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I383	Southbourne	<p>Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
I384	Southbourne	<p>Neither town or parish councils are required at this time. This 'consultation' is a complete and utter farce perpetrated by the LibDem councillors. There is no hurry to create town or parish councils, so leave the decision until the May 2027 Local Elections when the public will have time to consider their attitude toward the proposals in much greater detail and without being influenced by councillors seeking more power.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
I385	Southbourne	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
I386	Southbourne	No.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
I387	Southbourne	<p>Once the government has completed it's latest round of local government reorganisation then this should be revisited particularly as we do not know whether the national government as part of the efficiency reorganisation my abolish them nationally</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I388	Southbourne	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
I389	Southbourne	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
I390	Southbourne	Please don't waste our money on any parish councils in the BCP area.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
I391	Southbourne	Scrap it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
I392	Southbourne	Southbourne has no Community Plan. Boscombe residents currently hold allotments within the Southbourne Parish, with no guarantee that this would continue under a Southbourne Parish Council whose only mandatory responsibility is Allotments.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
I393	Southbourne	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authroity cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I394	Southbourne	The creation of a parish council here would damage community cohesion and set a more affluent area against others that are less so.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
I395	Southbourne	The draft recommendation fail to explain any benefit whatsoever to the residents, workers or visitors to the area, just duplication and additional bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
I396	Southbourne	The money should be spent in the local area not wasted on extra counsellor is who cannot make any decisions because it blocked by red tape	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
I397	Southbourne	The present situation works well and should not be altered	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
I398	Southbourne	These proposals hack off the "nice areas" and leave the less affluent/ less beautiful areas all lumped together. It feels like I am being "partitioned"!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I399	Southbourne	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
I400	Southbourne	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
I401	Southbourne	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
I402	Southbourne	We do not need parish councils. They will lead to division and inequity across BCP.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q49 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Southbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
I403	Southbourne	We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
I404	Southbourne	We need either BCP or parish councils, not both	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I405	Southbourne	Could reduce number of councillors by 1 per ward area	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
I406	Southbourne	I am concerned that neighbourhoods such as Charminster will once again be left behind if other neighbourhoods get this status.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
I407	Southbourne	No	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7